NASA LIBRARY
AMES RESEARCE CENTER
MOTIETT FIELD, CALIF.

Translation Number

ADDITIONAL COPIES AVAILABLE AT REDUCED RATES FROM



## MORRIS D. FRIEDMAN, INC.

Offices at 1383 A WASHINGTON STREET

Mail to P.O.BOX 35

WEST NEWTON 65, MASSACHUSETTS

Telephone

WOODWARD 9-8918-8919

#88-**7**6635

MORRIS D. FRIEDMAN, INC.- 1383A Washington Street -West Newton 65, Massachusetts
Russian Translation

B-162

COPY No. \_\_\_\_\_\_s

naracters of Linear Representations of Finite Groups on an Arbitrary Field

140147

S. D. BERMAN

Matem. Sb. vol. 44(86), No. 4, 1958, pp.409-456
INTRODUCTION

The present paper is devoted to the theory of characters of linear representations of finite groups on an arbitrary field.

The author's results, published in [8] and [9], are generalized in \$1. The concept of the  $\phi$  -character of a finite group is introduced in this same section.

An S-mapping of a finite group G is such a one-to-one mapping of a  $\varphi$  group into itself as defines the substitution in the set of continuously irreducible characters of this group. The class of S-mappings of a finite group G is exhausted by those one-to-one mappings of a group into itself as transform the classes of conjugate elements into each other and, hence, induce an automorphism of the algebras of these classes.

The  $\phi$  character of a group G, where  $\phi$  is a group of S-mappings of this group, is defined as the sum of different characters obtained from the absolutely irreducible character  $\chi$  by the effect of all transformations from the group  $\phi$  on it.

Relations which generalize the classical dependences between absolutely irreducible characters of a finite group are proved for  $\frac{1}{4}$  characters. Here,  $\frac{1}{4}$  divisions of a group, sets of elements of the form  $c^{-1}\phi(a)c$  where  $a \in G$  is a fixed element, c runs through the group G and  $\phi$  is a group of S mappings of  $\Phi$ , play the part of classes of conjugate elements.

Relations between the characters of a group G on an arbitrary field K', whose characteristic does not divide the order of the group, are obtained from general relations between  $\Phi$  characters if  $\Phi$  is taken to be a group of G -mappings of the form G -mappings of the form G -mappings of the automorphisms G -G of a field G on G on G of G is a primitive G -th root of G , G is the least common multiple of the orders of the elements of the group G ). In this case we call the G divisions of the group G , the G -divisions of the group.

If G is a normal divisor of the group F and  $\frac{1}{4}$  is a group of S mappings of the group G generated by a group of inner automorphisms of the

group F, then the  $\phi$  characters of G agree with the relative characters of the group G with respect to F, introduced by Frobenius [3].

Also considered in \$1 are applications of the results on \$\frac{1}{2}\$ characters to the question of the isomorphism of centers of group algebras.

82 is devoted to induced representations. Two known theorems of R. Brauer on induced representations on an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero are generalized to representations on an arbitrary field K' of zero characteristic.

Let us call the K'-characters of a group G the characters of linear representations of a group G on a field K'. We shall call integer linear combinations of characters of irreducible representations of a group G on a field K' (irreducible K'-characters) generalized K'-characters.

Let us agree to call a subgroup  $E \subseteq G$  satisfying the following conditions a K'-elementary subgroup of the group G:

- 1) E is a semi-direct product of a cyclic normal divisor H = (a) of order h by the p-group F(p,h) = 1.
- 2) For any element  $g \in F$ , we will have  $g^{-1}ag = a^{\mu}$ , where  $\mu$  is an integer such that the mapping  $\epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon^{\mu}$  defines the automorphism of a field  $K'(\epsilon)$  on K' ( $\epsilon$  is a primitive m-th root of 1, m is the order of the group G).

The following equivalent statements are proved:

- I. Each irreducible K'-character of a group G is represented as an integer linear combination of K'-characters induced by irreducible K'-characters of K'-elementary subgroups of the group G.
- II. The function f(g)  $(g \in G)$ , prescribed on the group G, with values in the field  $K'' \supseteq K'$  is a generalized K'-character of this group if and only if f(g) is a function of the K'-divisor of the group G and the function f(g) induces a generalized K'-character of this subgroup on each elementary subgroup of the group G.

The method of [7] is used to prove statements I and II.

Furthermore, a theorem reciprocal to THEOREM II (a result of [13] is generalized) is established as is a theorem on the unsolvability of an integral ring of characters of a finite group in a direct sum of ideals. Relations are also studied between the  $\oint$ -characters of a group and a subgroup, which are analogous to the known Frobenius relations.

```
A brief explanation of the fundamental results of $2 was published in
[10].
           The following notation will be used in the sequel:
                  G - finite group
                  h - the order of G
                  n - the least common multiple of the orders of the elements of the
                          group G
       C_1, \dots, C_s- classes of conjugate elements of the group G
                h_i- order of the class C_i (i = 1,...,s)
                K'- an arbitrary field whose characteristic does not divide h
                \hat{K} - algebraic closure of K^{\dagger}
                  K - field of complex numbers
                  R - field of rational numbers
{m 7} - prime ideal of a field R(\epsilon) , dividing the prime p
       \Gamma_1',\ldots,\Gamma_r' all irreducible representations of the group G on K^1,\ldots,\Gamma_s' all irreducible representations of the group G on K
        \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_s- all irreducible representations of the group G on K
             \chi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{r}}(g) - character of the representations \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{r}} (i = 1,...,r) \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{r}}(g) - character of the representations \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{r}} (j = 1,...,s) \chi_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{r}}(g) - character of the representations \Gamma_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{r}} (j = 1,...,s) n_{\mathbf{i}} - degree of the representation \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{r}} (i = 1,...,s)
                 Z_{K^{\eta}}- center of the algebra R(G,K^{\eta})
                k_i sum of elements of the class C_i in R(G,K^i)
             ..., \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{s}} - complete system of minimum idempotents of the center of R(G, \hat{\mathbf{K}})
```

Let G be a finite group, K' an arbitrary field.

It is assumed throughout this paper that the characteristic of the field K? does not divide the order of G.

The group algebra  $R(G,K^{\dagger})$  decomposes into the direct sum of minimum, mutually-cancelling two-sided ideals:

(1.1) 
$$R(G,K^{\dagger}) = I_{1}^{\dagger} + \dots + I_{r}^{\dagger} \qquad (I_{1}^{\dagger} \cdot I_{j}^{\dagger} = 0 \text{ , if } i \neq j)$$
 The expansion of the center  $Z_{K^{\dagger}}$  of the algebra  $R(G,K^{\dagger})$  into the

following direct sum of fields corresponds to such an expansion:

$$Z_{K^{i}} = Z_{1}^{i} + \dots + Z_{r}^{i}$$

 $Z_{K^{\dagger}} = Z_{1}^{\dagger} + \dots + Z_{r}^{\dagger}$  is the center of the ideal  $Z_{i}^{\dagger}$ ;  $Z_{i}^{\dagger} \cdot Z_{j}^{\dagger} = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ).

Each field  $Z_i^{?}$  (i = 1,...,r) is isomorphically a subfield of the  $K^{i}(\varepsilon)$ . field

Because of (1.1), for unit R(G,K') there holds an expansion into a sum fo pairwise orthogonal minimum idempotents of the center:

$$(1^{i}.1) \qquad \qquad 1 = e_{1}^{i} + \dots + e_{r}^{i} \quad (e_{i}^{i} \in Z_{i}^{i}; e_{i}^{i} \cdot e_{j}^{i} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq j)$$

A two-sided ideal I! (i = 1,...,r) decomposes into the direct sum of minimum left ideals:

(3.1) 
$$I_{i}^{!} = I_{i1} + ... + I_{is_{i}} \quad (i = 1,...,r)$$

In conformance with (3.1), the minimum idempotent of the center e; is represented as the sum of minimum idempotents of R(G,K'):

(3'.1) 
$$e_{i}^{!} = e_{i1} + ... + e_{is_{i}}$$
 (i = 1,...,r;  $e_{ij} \in I_{ij}$ ;  $e_{ij} \cdot e_{ik} = 0$  for  $j \neq k$ )

Each minimum two-sided ideal I! is isomorphic to the complete matrix ring on the body D; , a finite extension of the field K' . The field Z; is the center of the body  $D_i$  (i = 1,...,r).

According to general theorems of algebra [1], the dimension of the body  $\mathbf{D_i}$  on its center  $\mathbf{Z_i^!}$  is  $\mathbf{m_i^2}$ , where  $\mathbf{m_i}$  is an integer called the index of the body  $D_i$  (i = 1,...,r).

The number of nonequivalent irreducible representations of the group G on a field  $K^{s}$  is r. To each two-sided ideal  $I_{i}^{s}$  (i = 1,...,r) there corresponds an irreducible representation  $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\bullet}$  , on  $\mathbf{K}^{\bullet}$  , of the group G which is defined by any minimum left ideal

$$I \subseteq I_i'$$
  $(i = 1,...,r)$ 

An arbitrary left ideal  $I \subseteq R(G,K^{\dagger})$  can be considered as an additive group with a ring of left operators of R(G,K'). I is a minimum if and only if the ring of operator endomorphisms of an additive group I is a body.

All minimum left ideals  $I \subseteq I_i^!$  (i = 1,...,r) are operator isomorphic. The body  $D_i$  (i = 1,...,r) is inversely isomorphic to a body of operator endomorphisms of any of these ideals.

The irreducible representation  $T_{\mathbf{i}}^{i}$ , on  $K^{i}$ , of the group G decomposes on the field K into a sum of absolutely irreducible representations:

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{\circ} = m_{\mathbf{i}}(\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{l}} + \dots + \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{i}}})$$

where each absolutely irreducible representation  $I_{ij}$   $(j=1,\ldots,q_i)$  enters into the expansion  $I_i^{"}$  with the same multiplicity  $m_i$ , the index of the body  $D_i$   $(i=1,\ldots,r)$  [4]. The number  $m_i$  is called the Schur index of any of the representations  $\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}$   $(j=1,\ldots,q_i)$  relative to the field  $K^i$ .

The Schur index  $m_i$  is a divisor of the powers of the absolutely irreducible representations  $f_{ij}^{A}$   $(j=1,...,q_i; i=1,...,r)$ .

As R. Brauer showed [16], each representation of a group G on a field  $\hat{K}$  is equivalent to a representation in the field  $\Pi(\epsilon)$ , where  $\Pi$  is s simple subfield of the field  $\hat{K}$ .

Absolutely irreducible representations of the group G on a field of characteristics p>0 can be obtained from irreducible representations on the field  $R(\epsilon)$ .

If p is a prime ideal of a field  $R(\epsilon)$  dividing p and T is a ring of p -integers\* of the field  $R(\epsilon)$ , then each irreducible representation  $\Gamma_i$  ( $i=1,\ldots,s$ ) in the field  $R(\epsilon)$  is equivalent to the matrix representation  $g \to ||\alpha_{jk}^{(i)}(g)||$  ( $g \in G$ ), where  $\alpha_{jk}^{(i)} \in T$ . If the elements  $\alpha_{jk}^{(i)} \in T$  are replaced by the corresponding classes of residues mod p, then all the absolutely irreducible representations of the group G on a field of characteristics p can be obtained from the representations  $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_s$ .

Hence, a one-to-one correspondence is established between the characters  $\chi_1,\ldots,\chi_s$  of the irreducible representations of G on the field of complex numbers [these representations are realized in the field R( $\epsilon$ )] and the characters  $\chi_1,\ldots,\chi_s$  of the absolutely irreducible representations of G on a field of characteristics p since the character  $\chi_i(g)$  is obtained from the character  $\chi_i(g)$  (i = 1,...,s) by reduction mod  $\phi$ .

The characters  $\hat{\chi}_1(g), \ldots, \hat{\chi}_s(g)$  of the irreducible representations  $\hat{f}_1, \ldots, \hat{f}_s$  of the group G on the field  $\hat{K}$  satisfy the following basic relations:

(I) 
$$\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{j}}(g)\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{j}}(g) = \sum_{m=1}^{S} \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{ij}}^{(m)} \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{m}}(g)$$

 $(\gamma_{ij}^{(m)})$  are non-negative integers)

(II) 
$$h_{\mathbf{i}}h_{\mathbf{j}}\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{t}}(g_{\mathbf{i}})\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{t}}(g_{\mathbf{j}}) = n_{\mathbf{t}} \sum_{m=1}^{S} \lambda_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}^{(m)} h_{m}\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{t}}(g_{m})$$

 $(g_1,...,g_s)$  is a system of representatives of classes  $C_1,...,C_s$ ;  $\lambda_{ij}^{(m)}$  are non-negative integers).

<sup>\*</sup> The ring T consists of all elements  $\frac{a}{b}$  (b  $\neq$  0), where a and b are integer elements of the field  $R(\varepsilon)$  and b  $\neq$  0 (mod  $\gamma$ ).

6

(III) 
$$\sum_{m=1}^{S} \hat{\lambda}_{m}(a) \hat{\lambda}_{m}(b^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if a and b belong to different} \\ & \text{classes } C_{i} \text{ and } C_{j} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{h}{h_{i}} \quad \text{if a, b } \in C_{i}$$

(IV) 
$$\sum_{m=1}^{s} h_{m} \hat{\lambda}_{i}(g_{m}) \hat{\lambda}_{j}(g_{m}^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j \\ h & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} (g_{m} \quad C_{m}; m = 1, ..., s)$$

The equality (IV) can also be written as follows

(IV') 
$$\sum_{g \in G} \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(g) \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{j}}(g^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ h & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

From (III) for b = 1, we obtain

(III) 
$$\sum_{m=1}^{S} n_{m} \chi_{m}(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \neq 1 \\ h & \text{if } a = 1 \end{cases}$$

If  $\chi_{j}(g) = 1$ , then (IV') becomes

(IV) 
$$\sum_{g \in G} \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(g) \neq 1 \\ h & \text{if } \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(g) \equiv 1 \end{cases}$$

The center  $Z_{\hat{K}}$  of the group algebra  $R(G,\hat{K})$  is an algebra on  $\hat{K}$ , which decomposes into the sum of s pairwise orthogonal fields isomorphic to the field  $\hat{K}$ . The elements  $k_1,\ldots,k_s$  and the idempotents  $\hat{e}_1,\ldots,\hat{e}_s$  [see the notation (A)] form two bases of the algebra  $Z_{\hat{K}}$  on  $\hat{K}$ .

The following formulas hold:

(4.1) 
$$k_{i} = h_{i} \sum_{m=1}^{S} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{m}(g_{i})}{n_{m}} \hat{e}_{m} ; \qquad \hat{e}_{i} = \frac{n_{i}}{h} \sum_{m=1}^{S} \hat{\lambda}_{i}(g_{m}^{-1}) k_{m}$$

$$(g_{i} \in C_{i} ; i = 1, ..., s)$$

DEFINITION 1.1. The one-to-one mapping  $\varphi$  of the group G onto itself will be called an S-mapping of the group if for any character  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}}(g)$  (i = 1,...,s) the function  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\varphi(g))$  is also an absolutely irreducible character.

It evidently follows from the equality  $\chi_{\mathbf{j}}(\Phi(g)) = \chi_{\mathbf{j}}(\Phi(g))$  ( $g \in G$ ) that  $\chi_{\mathbf{j}}(g) = \chi_{\mathbf{j}}(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Therefore, the S-mapping  $\Phi$  defines a substitution in the set of irreducible character of the group G on the complex number field.

It is clear that if  $\,^{\phi}$ ,  $\,^{\psi}$  are S -mappings of the group G then  $\,^{\phi-1}$ 

and  $\Phi \Psi$  are also S -mappings.

REMARK 1.1. As has been noted, the characters  $\hat{\chi}_1, \ldots, \hat{\chi}_s$  of the irreducible representations of the group G on an arbitrary algebraic closed field  $\hat{k}$  whose characteristic does not divide the order of the group, are obtained from complex characters  $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_s$  by a reduction mod p.

Therefore, the S-mapping  $\varphi$  defines a one-to-one mapping of the set  $\hat{\chi}_1, \ldots, \hat{\chi}_s$  into itself for any field  $\hat{K}$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $\Phi$  be an S-mapping of the group G. The linear transformation  $\Phi$  of the linear space of the group algebra  $R(G,K^{\dagger})$ , defined by the formula

 $\Phi\begin{pmatrix} h \\ \Sigma & \alpha_{i}g_{i} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{h} \alpha_{i}\Phi(g_{i}) \qquad (\alpha_{i} \in K')$ 

 $(g_1, \ldots, g_h)$  are elements of G) will be called an S-mapping of the algebra R(G,K') induced by the mapping  $\Phi$  of the group G.

In the sequel, we shall not distinguish between the S-mapping of the algebra  $R(G,K^{\dagger})$  and that S-mapping of the group which induces this mapping.

Examples of S -mappings of a group:

- 1. Arbitrary automorphism  $\,^{\phi}$  of a group. Actually, if  $\,^{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(g)$  is the character of an irreducible representation  $g \longrightarrow A(g)$  of the group G, then  $\,^{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(\phi(g))$  is the character of an irreducible representation  $g \longrightarrow A(\phi(g))$  of this group.
- 2. The transformation  $\Phi(g) = g^{\mu}$   $(g \in G)$ , where  $(\mu,h) = 1$ . The transformation  $\Psi$  can be put into correspondence with the automorphism  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  of the Galois group of the field  $R(\epsilon)$  on  $R: \widetilde{\Psi}(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{\mu}$ . Hence, the following formula is correct:

(5.1) 
$$\tilde{\Psi}\left[\chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{g})\right] = \chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{g}^{\mu})$$

Actually, if  $\chi_i(g) = \epsilon^{q_1} + \ldots + \epsilon^{r}$  (the values of each character are the sums of the roots of unity), then

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} \big[ \boldsymbol{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{g}) \big] = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{q}_{\underline{\mathbf{i}}}} + \dots + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{q}_{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}}$$

On the other hand,  $\chi_i(g^\mu) = \epsilon^{\mu q} + \dots + \epsilon^{\mu r}$  since the eigennumbers of the matrix  $A^\mu$  are  $\mu$ -powers of the eigennumbers of the matrix A.

Because of (5.1),  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\Phi(g))$  is the character of that representation of the group G which is obtained from the representation  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$  corresponding to the character  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}}$  (i = 1,...,s) as a result of the automorphism  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  of the

field of representations  $R(\epsilon)$  .

LEMMA 1.1. Each S -mapping  $\Phi$  of the group G transforms the classes of conjugate elements of a group into each other.

PROOF. Let  $a \in G$ . If  $\phi(a)$  and  $\phi(g^{-1}ag)$  belong to different classes C, for a certain element  $g \in G$ , then because of (III)

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{S} \chi_i [(\varphi(a))^{-1}] \chi_i (\varphi(g^{-1}ag)) = 0$$

But  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\varphi(g^{-1}ag)) = \chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\varphi(a))$  since the characters  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\varphi(g))$  are functions of the class of conjugate elements. Therefore, on the basis of the same relation (III):

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \chi_{i} [(\varphi(a))^{-1}] \chi_{i}(\varphi(a)) = \frac{h}{h_{j}}$$

where h<sub>j</sub> is the order of the class  $C_1 \supseteq \Phi(a)$ . Contradictions are obtained. LEMMA 1'.1. If  $\Phi$  is a S-mapping of the group G, then  $\Phi(1) = 1$ .

PROOF. Let  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\varphi(g)) = \chi_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}}(g)$  (i = 1,...,s). Then  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\varphi(1)) = n_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}}(n_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}})$  is the power of the representation corresponding to the character  $\chi_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ ). If  $\varphi(1) \neq 1$ , then because of (III)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} n_{i} n_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \chi_{i}(1) \chi_{i}(\varphi(1)) = 0$$

which is impossible.

COROLLARY. For any S-mapping  $\Phi$  the powers of the absolutely irreducible representations corresponding to the characters  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}}(g)$  and  $\chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\Phi(g)) = \chi_{\mathbf{r}}(g)$  (g  $\in$  G) coincide.

Actually,  $n_{i} = \chi_{i}(1) = \chi_{i}(\varphi(1)) = \chi_{r_{i}}(1) = n_{r_{i}}$ .

LEMMA 2.1. The S-mapping  $\Phi$  of the algebra  $R(G, \hat{K})$  transforms the minimum idempotents of the center

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{i}}}{h} \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in G} \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{g})\mathbf{g}$$

into each other.

Actually

(6.1) 
$$\varphi(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{i}}) = \frac{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{i}}}{\mathbf{h}} \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}} \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{g}) \varphi(\mathbf{g}) = \frac{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{i}}}{\mathbf{h}} \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}} \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{g})) \mathbf{g}$$

Since  $\chi_{i}(\varphi^{-1}(g))$  is a character of the group G to which the absolutely irreducible representation of power  $n_{i}$  corresponds, by virtue of the corollary to LEMMA 1'.1, then we obtain, from a comparison of (4''.1) and (6.1), that

 $\Phi(\hat{e}_i)$  is the minimum idempotent of the center  $R(G, \hat{K})$ .

THEOREM 1'.1. The one-to-one mapping  $\varphi$  of the group G into itself is an S-mapping of a group if and only if  $\varphi$  induces an automorphism of the center Z of the algebra R(G,K) for which the elements  $k_i$  ( $i=1,\ldots,s$ ) transform into one another.

PROOF. The substitution of idempotents  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 \dots e_s \\ e_r \dots e_r \end{pmatrix}$  corresponds to

each automorphism  $\psi$  of the algebra Z on K and, conversely, any of the s! substitutions of the elements  $e_1,\ldots,e_s$  determines an automorphism of the algebra Z on K (Z = Ke<sub>1</sub> + ... + Ke<sub>s</sub>). Therefore, if  $\varphi$  induces an automorphism of the center, then

(6'.1) 
$$\varphi(e_i) = \frac{n_i}{h} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_i(\varphi^{-1}(g))g = \frac{n_r}{h} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_r(g)g$$

Comparing coefficients for 1 in the right and left sides of (6'.1), we obtain:

from which

$$\chi_{i}(\varphi^{-1}(g)) = \chi_{r_{i}}(g)$$
 (g  $\in G$ ; i = 1,...,s)

Therefore  $\Phi$  is an S-mapping of the group G.

The necessity of the conditions of the theorem results from LEMMA 2.1. COROLLARY. If  $\varphi$  is an S-mapping of the group G and  $a \in G$ , then

PROOF: Let 
$$\varphi(k_i) = k_{r_i}$$
  $(i = 1, ..., s)$ . If  $k_i k_t = \sum_{m=1}^{s} \lambda_{it}^m k_m$ 

then because of THEOREM (11.1)

(8.1) 
$$k_{r_{i}r_{t}} = \sum_{m=1}^{s} \lambda_{it}^{m} k_{r_{m}}$$

Let us assume that the elements  $k_j$  are enumerated so that  $k_l = 1$ ; a  $C_i$ ;  $a^{-1}$   $C_t$ . Then, from (7'.1), the following equality results

$$\lambda_{it}^{1} = h_{i} = h_{t}$$

[see the notation (A)] and (8.1) shows that the class  $k_r$  contains the element  $\left[\varphi(a)\right]^{-1}$  (otherwise, the equality  $\chi_{it}^1=0$  must hold).

Naturally, the question occurs: Do S-mappings of a finite group exist which determine such a substitution of the set of classes of conjugate elements of this group as is not induced by some mapping of the form  $\Psi\Psi$ , where  $\Psi$  is

an automorphism of the group and  $\psi$  is a mapping of form  $g \rightarrow g^{\mu}$  [ $g \in G$ ;  $(\mu,h) = 1$ . The following example yields an affirmative answer to this question.

Let us consider a group G of 36-th order with the defining relations:  $a^3 = 1$ ,  $b^3 = 1$ , ab = ba,  $c^2 = 1$ ,  $c^{-1}ac = b^{-1}$ ,  $c^{-1}bc = a^{-1}$ ,  $d^2 = 1$ ,  $d^{-1}ad = a^{-1}$ ,  $d^{-1}bd = b^{-1}$ , cd = dc.

The classes of conjugate elements of the group G are:

 $C_1 = \{1\}$ ,  $C_2 = \{a,a^{-1},b,b^{-1}\}$ ;  $C_3 = \{ab,a^2b^2\}$   $C_4 = \{ab^2,a^2b\}$ ,  $C_5 = \{cd,a^2bcd,ab^2cd\}$ ,  $C_6 = \{acd,bcd,a^2b^2cd\}$   $C_7 = \{a^2cd,abcd,b^2cd\}$ ,  $C_8 = \{c,ac,a^2c,bc,b^2c,abc,a^2bc,a^2b^2c\}$   $C_9 = \{d,ad,a^2d,bd,b^2d,abd,a^2bd,ab^2d,a^2b^2d\}$ 

Let us show that a transposition of the classes (Cg, Co) is an automorphism of the center  $\, Z \,$  of the algebra  $\, R(G,K) \,$  . Actually, the product  $C_iC_i$ , where i, j  $\angle$  8, contains only elements of the classes  $C_a$  (1  $\le$  q < 8) and for the elements  $k_8$  and  $k_9$ , corresponding to the classes  $^{^{\circ}}C_8$  and  $^{\circ}C_9$ , the following multiplication table holds:

 $k_{8}^{k_{1}} = h_{1}^{k_{8}} \qquad (i = 1, ..., 4) ; \qquad k_{8}^{k_{1}} = 3k_{9} \qquad (j = 5, 6, 7)$   $k_{8}^{2} = 9(k_{1} + k_{2} + k_{3} + k_{4}) ; \qquad k_{8}^{k_{9}} = 9(k_{5} + k_{6} + k_{7})$   $k_{9}^{k_{1}} = h_{1}^{k_{9}} \qquad (i = k, ..., 4) ; \qquad k_{9}^{k_{1}} = 3k_{8} \qquad (j = 5, 6, 7) ; \qquad k_{9}^{2} = 9(k_{1}^{2} + k_{2}^{2} + k_{3}^{2} + k_{4}^{2})$ (h, is the order of the class C;)

to which the transposition  $(C_8, C_9)$  remains unchanged.

Let us assume that there exists an automorphism  $\varphi$  of the group Gwhich induces the automorphism  $\alpha = (C_8, C_9)$  of the center Z of the algebra R(G,K). Then  $\Phi(d^{-1}ad) = \Phi(a^{-1})$ , from which  $c^{-1}\Phi(a)c = [\Phi(a)]^{-1}$  because  $\Phi(d) = a^{i}b^{j}c$ . The equality  $c^{-1}xc = x^{-1}$  is satisfied only for the elements ab,  $a^2b^2$ ,  $a^2b$  and  $ab^2$  of the group  $(a)^{x}(b)$ . This means  $\varphi(a)$  is one of these elements; the latter is a contradiction that the automorphism  $\phi$  remains at the place of the class  $C_2 = \{a,a^{-1},b,b^{-1}\}$ .

Since any mapping  $g \rightarrow g^{\mu}$ ,  $(\mu, 36) = 1$ , remains at the place of each class  $C_i$  (i = 1,...,9), then we have thereby proved that no mapping of the form  $\Phi \Psi$  exists, where  $\Phi$  is an automorphism of the group G,  $a\Psi(g)=g^{tt}$ ,  $(\mu,36) = 1$  (g G), which induces the S-mapping  $\alpha = (C_8,C_9)$  of the center Z .

LEMMA 3.1. Let R be a finite-dimensional, linear space on the field P;  $\Phi$  a group of linear transformations of the space R;  $M = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ and  $M' = \{u_1', \ldots, u_m'\}$  are such two bases of R on P that, under the effect of the transformation  $\Phi \in \Phi$ , the vectors of each of the sets M and M' transform into each other. Let the sets M and M' decompose into nonintersecting subsets of mutually  $\Phi$ -equivalent elements:

$$\begin{split} & \text{M} = \bigcup_{i=1}^q \text{M}_i \ , \quad \text{M}_i \cap \text{M}_j = \bigwedge \quad \text{for } i \neq j \ , \quad \text{M}_i = \left\{u_1^{(i)}, \dots, u_{r_i}^{(i)}\right\} \ (i=1,\dots,q) \\ & \text{M'} = \bigcup_{j=1}^r \text{M'}_j \ , \quad \text{M'}_i \cap \text{M'}_j = \bigwedge \quad \text{if } i \neq j \ , \quad \text{M'}_j = \left\{u_1^{(j)}, \dots, u_{r_i}^{(j)}\right\} (j=1,\dots,r) \\ & \text{Then the vectors } v_1 = u_1^{(1)} + \dots + u_{r_1}^{(1)}, \dots, v_q = u_1^{(q)} + \dots + u_{r_q}^{(q)} \quad \text{and} \\ & v_1^i = u_1^{(1)} + \dots + u_{q_1}^{(1)}, \dots, v_r^i = u_1^{(r)} + \dots + u_{q_r}^{(r)} \quad \text{form two bases of the} \\ & \text{subspace } \widetilde{R} \subseteq R \quad \text{consisting of all vectors of the space } R \quad \text{keeping each transformation} \quad \Psi \in \Phi \quad \text{and, therefore, } r = q \ . \end{split}$$

If, above all, R is a semisimple commutative algebra on P and the basis  $M = \{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$  is a system of pairwise orthogonal minimum idempotents of the algebra R, then R is a sub-algebra of R.

PROOF: Let  $x = \lambda_1 u_1 + \ldots + \lambda_j u_j + \ldots + \lambda_k u_k + \ldots \in \mathbb{R}$   $(\lambda_i \in P)$ . If the vectors  $u_k$  and  $u_j$  belong to one subset  $M_i$ , then there exists a transformation  $\phi \in \Phi$  such that  $\phi(u_j) = u_k$ . Then  $\phi(x) = \lambda_1 \phi(u_1) + \ldots + \lambda_j u_k + \ldots$  On the other hand,

 $\varphi(x) = x = \lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_k u_k + \dots$ ; this means  $\lambda_j = \lambda_k$ .

Hence, the coefficients for the vectors of one set  $M_i$  in the expression for x agree, wherefore we conclude that x is represented as a linear combination:  $x = \gamma_1 v_1 + \ldots + \gamma_q v_q$  ( $\gamma_i \in P$ ;  $i = 1, \ldots, q$ ). On the other hand,  $v_i \in \widetilde{R}$  ( $i = 1, \ldots, q$ ). Therefore, the vectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_q$  form a basis of  $\widetilde{R}$ . In exactly the same manner, we find that  $v_1, \ldots, v_r$  is a basis of  $\widetilde{R}$ .

If R is an algebra over P and  $\mathbf{u_1}, \dots, \mathbf{u_m}$  are pairwise orthogonal idempotents of R , then

$$\mathbf{v_{i}v_{j}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j \\ \mathbf{v_{i}} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

This means  $(\gamma_1 v_1 + ... + \gamma_q v_q)(\beta_1 v_1 + ... + \beta_q v_q) = \gamma_1 \beta_1 v_1 + ... + \gamma_q \beta_q v_q \in \widetilde{R}$   $(\gamma_1, \beta_1 \in P)$ , i.e.,  $\widetilde{R}$  is a subalgebra of R.

The LEMMA is proved.

Let  $X = \{\hat{\chi}_1, \dots, \hat{\chi}_s\}$  be a set of characters of representations of G which are irreducible on K;  $Q = \{C_1, \dots, C_s\}$  a set of classes of conjugate elements of the group G;  $M = \{\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_s\}$  a set of minimum idempotents of

the center of  $R(G,\hat{K})$ ;  $\Phi$  is an arbitrary group of S-mappings of  $R(G,\hat{K})$ .

In view of LEMMAS 1.1 and 2.1, Q and M decompose under the effect of transformations from  $\Phi$  of the set X into non-intersecting regions of transitivity:

DEFINITION 3.1. The sets  $X_1,\ldots,X_q$  will be called  $\Phi$  divisions of the characters of G, the sets  $M_1,\ldots,M_q$  are  $\Phi$  divisions of minimum idempotents of the center of R(G,K). The set-theoretical sum  $T_j$  of elements of the group G belonging to classes from the set  $Q_j$   $(j=1,\ldots,r)$  will be called a  $\Phi$  division of the group G. The characters of one  $\Phi$  division of characters and elements of one  $\Phi$  division of a group we shall agree to call  $\Phi$  conjugates.

Evidently the elements a, b  $\in$  G [the characters  $\hat{\chi}_{i}(g)$  and  $\hat{\chi}_{j}(g)$ ] are  $\Phi$  conjugates if and only if there exists an S-mapping  $\Psi \in \Phi$  such that b =  $c^{-1}\Psi(a)c$  [correspondingly,  $\hat{\chi}_{i}(g) = \hat{\chi}_{i}(\Psi(g))$ ].

On the basis of the corollary of LEMMA 1, the powers of absolutely irreducible representations corresponding to the characters  $\hat{\chi}_{i1}, \ldots, \hat{\chi}_{ir}$  of one  $\Phi$  division  $X_i$  (i = 1,...,q) coincide:

(10.1)

n. = ... = n. (i = 1,...,q)

Because of (6'.1) and (9.1), the  $\Phi$  divisions of characters and idempotents define each other ( $\phi^{-1}$  runs through the group  $\Phi$  when  $\Phi$  runs through this group): if the character  $\hat{\chi}_{i,i} \in X_i$ , then the idempotent

$$\hat{e}_{ij} = \frac{n_{ij}}{h} \sum_{g \in G} \hat{\chi}_{ij}(g^{-1})g \in M_i$$

The minimum idempotents  $\hat{e}_1,\ldots,\hat{e}_s$  of the center  $R(G,\hat{K})$  and the elements  $k_1,\ldots,k_s$   $\begin{bmatrix} k_i & \text{is the sum of elements of the class } C_i & \text{in } R(G,\hat{K}) \end{bmatrix}$  form two bases of the center  $Z_K^*$ , satisfying the conditions of LEMMA 3.1. In conformance with (9.1), let us put

(10'.1) 
$$t_{j} = k_{j1} + ... + k_{jq_{j}}$$
  $(j = 1, ..., r)$ ;  $\tilde{e}_{i} = \hat{e}_{i1} + ... + \hat{e}_{ir_{i}}$   $(i=1, ..., q)$ 

The element t is the sum of elements of the  $\Phi$  division  $T_j$   $(j=1,\ldots,r)$  in  $R(G,\widehat{K})$  .

Because of LEMMA 3.1, we obtain the statement:

THEOREM 1.1. The elements  $t_1,\ldots,t_r$  and the idempotents  $\tilde{e}_1,\ldots,\tilde{e}_q$  of the center  $Z_{\hat{K}}$  of the algebra  $R(G,\hat{K})$  form two bases of the subalgebra  $Z_{\hat{K}} \subseteq Z_{\hat{K}}$  consisting of all elements of the center maintaining each transformation  $\Phi \in \Phi$ .

Hence, there results in particular that the number of  $\Phi$  divisions of characters of the group G equals the number of  $\Phi$  divisions of the group.

COROLLARY. The following formulas hold:

(11.1) 
$$t_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \alpha_{ij} \tilde{e}_{j}, \quad \tilde{e}_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_{ij} t_{j} \quad (\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij} \in \hat{K}; i,j = 1,...,q; \\ \tilde{e}_{i} \tilde{e}_{j} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j)$$
(12.1) 
$$t_{i} t_{j} = \sum_{m=1}^{q} \lambda_{ij}^{(m)} t_{m} \quad (\lambda_{ij}^{(m)} \text{ are non-negative integers})$$

Formulas (11.1) are a generalization of the equality (4.1). The relation (12.1) expresses the law of composition of  $\Phi$  divisions of a group.

Let us note that because of (ll.1) the following equality is satisfied for any element  $x \in \widetilde{Z}_{\hat{K}}$  and an arbitrary idempotent  $\widetilde{e}_{i}$  (i = 1, ..., q):

(ll'.1)  $x\widetilde{e}_{i} = \chi \widetilde{e}_{i}$  ( $\chi \in \hat{K}$ ; i = 1, ..., q)

DEFINITION 4.1. We shall call the idempotents  $e \in \widetilde{Z}_{\widehat{K}}$ , i.e., idempotents of a center maintaining the effect of all the transformations from the group  $\Phi$ , the  $\Phi$  idempotents of the center of  $R(G,\widehat{K})$ . The idempotents  $\widetilde{e}_1,\ldots,\widetilde{e}_q$  will be called the minimum  $\Phi$  idempotents of the center.

We shall agree to call the character of a certain representation G on K (not absolutely irreducible) which remains in place under the effect of all transformations  $\Phi \in \Phi$ , a  $\Phi$  character. The sum of characters of one  $\Phi$  division of characters

(12'.1) 
$$\hat{\chi}_{i}(g) = \hat{\chi}_{i1}(g) + ... + \hat{\chi}_{ir_{i}}(g)$$
 (i = 1,...,q; g  $\in$  G) will be called an irreducible  $\neq$  character of G.

The representation G on  $\hat{K}$  whose character is a  $\Phi$  character, we shall call a  $\Phi$  representation.

Since  $\widetilde{Z}_{\widehat{K}}$  is a semisimple commutative algebra with a basis  $\widetilde{e}_1,\ldots,\widetilde{e}_q$  then each  $\Phi$  idempotent  $e \in \widetilde{Z}_{\widehat{K}}$  is represented uniquely as the sum of certain of the minimum  $\Phi$  idempotents  $\widetilde{e}_1,\ldots,\widetilde{e}_q$  are not representable as the sum of  $\Phi$  idempotents of the center.

Because any representation of the group G over K is completely reducible, taking LEMMA 3 into account we arrive at the following conclusion:

LEMMA 4.1. Each  $\P$ -character  $\widetilde{\chi}(g)$  on the field  $\hat{K}$  is represented as an integer linear combination of irreducible • characters:

$$\tilde{\chi}(g) = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \tilde{\chi}_{i}(g)$$
  $(\alpha_{i} \ge 0)$ 

Evidently there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the irreducible # -characters on an arbitrary field K and the irreducible # -characters on the field of complex numbers K because of the same correspondence between characters of irreducible representations on these fields. (The transition from the Æ-character on the field K to the Æ-character on the field K of characteristics p is accomplished by reduction mod

THEOREM 2.1. Each irreducible  $\Phi$ -character  $\tilde{\chi}_{i}(g)$  (i = 1,...,q) a function of the  $\Phi$ -division of G:

$$\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{a}) = \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{b})$$

if the elements a, b € G are ₹-conjugate.

PROOF. Let  $\tilde{\chi}_{i}(a) = \tilde{\chi}_{il}(a) + ... + \tilde{\chi}_{ir}(a)$ , where  $\tilde{\chi}_{il}, ..., \tilde{\chi}_{ir}$  all the characters of G irreducible over  $\tilde{K}$ ;  $\Phi$ -conjugate to  $\tilde{\chi}_{il}$ ;  $b = \varphi(a) \quad (\varphi \in \Phi)$ . Then  $\hat{\chi}_{i}(b) = \hat{\chi}_{il}(\varphi(a)) + \dots + \hat{\chi}_{ir_{i}}(\varphi(a)) = \hat{\chi}_{il}(a) + \dots + \hat{\chi}_{ir_{i}}(a) = \hat{\chi}_{i}(a) \text{ because}$ characters from one 4 -division of characters transform into themselves under the effect of the S-mapping  $\Psi$ .

Relations similar to (I) - (IV) for the characters of absolutely acters  $\hat{\chi}$ , of the group G.

Let us introduce the notation:

 $l_i$  - the number of elements (orders) of the  $\Phi$  -division  $l_i$  (i = 1,...,q)  $t_i$  - the sum of the elements of the  $\Phi$  -division  $T_i$  in  $R(G,\hat{K})$  (i=1,...,q)  $b_1^{j}, \ldots, b_{q}^{j}$  - the system of representations of the  $\Phi$ -divisions  $T_1, \ldots, T_{q}$ (B) \ r\_i - the number of absolutely irreducible characters in a I -division of the characters

$$X_{i} = \{\hat{\chi}_{i1}, ..., \hat{\chi}_{ir_{i}}\}$$
 (i = 1,...,q)

ing to any of the characters  $\hat{\chi}_{ij}$  (j = 1,...,r<sub>i</sub>; i = 1,...,q) [see (10.1)]

In deriving the relations between the  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ -characters, we will assume that the characteristic of the field  $\hat{K}$  does not divide the numbers  $\hat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{i}}$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}$  ( $\mathbf{i} = 1, \ldots, q$ ).

Because of (4.1), (10.1), (10'.1), (12'.1) and (13.1), the second of formulas (11.1) can be written as:

Let us take two irreducible I -characters of the group G:

(14.1) 
$$\hat{\chi}_{i}(g) = \hat{\chi}_{i1}(g) + ... + \hat{\chi}_{ir_{i}}(g) \text{ and } \hat{\chi}_{j}(g) = \hat{\chi}_{j1}(g) + ... + \hat{\chi}_{jr_{i}}(g)$$

The product  $\tilde{\chi}_{i}(g) \cdot \tilde{\chi}_{j}(g)$  is evidently a  $\Phi$ -character of G since for the S-transformation  $\Phi \in \Phi$ 

$$\vec{\chi}_{\mathbf{j}}(\varphi(g)) \cdot \vec{\chi}_{\mathbf{j}}(\varphi(g)) = \vec{\chi}_{\mathbf{j}}(g) \vec{\chi}_{\mathbf{j}}(g)$$

Therefore, because of LEMMA 4.1, we obtain

(I') 
$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{j}}(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{q} \gamma_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}^{(k)} \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}(g)$$
  $(\gamma_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}^{(k)} \text{ are non-negative integers})$ 

(the first relation between the irreducible  $\Phi$ -characters).

By virtue of (1\(\hat{h}.1\))
$$\sum_{g \in G} \widetilde{\chi}_{i}(g) \widetilde{\chi}_{j}(g^{-1}) = \sum_{m=1}^{r} \sum_{t=1}^{r} \left( \sum_{g \in G} \widehat{\chi}_{im}(g) \widehat{\chi}_{jt}(g^{-1}) \right)$$

As a consequence of (IV')

$$\sum_{g \notin G} \hat{\chi}_{im}(g) \hat{\chi}_{jt}(g^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (i,m) \neq (j,t) \\ h & \text{if } (i,m) = (j,t) \end{cases}$$

This means that

(IV'') 
$$\sum_{g \in G} \widetilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(g) \widetilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{j}}(g^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ hr_{\mathbf{i}} & \text{for } i = j \end{cases}$$

(the fourth relation between the irreducible  $\Phi$ -characters).

Because of (13.1), formula (IV'') can be written as:

$$(\text{IV'''}) \qquad \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{q} l_{k} \widetilde{\chi}_{i}(b_{k}^{-1}) \widetilde{\chi}_{j}(b_{k}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ hr_{j} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

Let us put

(15.1) 
$$\alpha_{ik} = \tilde{\chi}_{i}(b_{k}^{-1}); \quad \delta_{kj} = \frac{\tilde{\chi}_{j}(b_{k})\tilde{l}_{k}}{h \cdot r_{i}}$$
 (i,j,k = 1,...,q)

Then (IV''') shows that between the matrices  $|\alpha_{ij}|$  and  $|\delta_{ij}|$  there exists the dependence:

(16.1) 
$$||\alpha_{ij}||^{-1} = ||\delta_{ij}||$$

Therefore

Therefore
$$\frac{q}{\sum_{j=1}^{\Sigma} \delta_{kj} a_{ji}} = \begin{cases}
0 & \text{if } k \neq i \\
1 & \text{if } k = i
\end{cases}$$
(III)
$$\frac{q}{j=1} \frac{1}{r_{j}} \tilde{\chi}_{j}(b_{k}) \tilde{\chi}_{j}(b_{i}^{-1}) = \begin{cases}
0 & \text{if } i \neq k \\
\frac{h}{l_{k}} & \text{if } i = k
\end{cases}$$

(the third relation between irreducible  $\Phi$ -characters).

By virtue of (11''.1) and (15.1)

$$\frac{h}{n_i} \tilde{e}_i = \sum_{m=1}^{q} \tilde{\chi}_i(b_m^{-1}) t_m = \sum_{m=1}^{q} \alpha_{im} t_m$$

Then because of (16.1) and (15.1)

(17.1) 
$$t_{k} = \sum_{m=1}^{q} \delta_{km} \left( \frac{h}{n_{m}} \widetilde{e}_{m} \right) = \sum_{m=1}^{q} \frac{I_{k}}{h \cdot r_{m}} \cdot \frac{h}{n_{m}} \widetilde{\chi}_{m}(b_{k}) \widetilde{e}_{m} = I_{k} \sum_{m=1}^{q} \frac{\widetilde{\chi}_{m}(b_{k})}{n_{m} \cdot r_{m}} \widetilde{e}_{m}$$

from which, in view of the orthogonality of the idempotents  $\widetilde{e}_1,\dots,\widetilde{e}_{\alpha}$  , we obtain:

(18.1) 
$$t_{k} \tilde{e}_{j} = \frac{I_{k}}{n_{j} r_{j}} \tilde{\lambda}_{j} (b_{k}) \tilde{e}_{j}$$

Multiplying both sides of (12.1) by  $\tilde{e}_k$  and taking (18.1) into account, we arrive at the relation:

$$\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{j}}}{(n_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{k}})^{2}}\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}})\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}}) = \sum_{m=1}^{q} \frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{j}}^{(m)}}{n_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{k}}}\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{b}_{m})$$

or

$$(III) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{j}} \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}) \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}}) = \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{q} \lambda_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}^{(m)} \mathcal{I}_{m} \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{b}_{m})$$

(second relation between irreducible  $\Phi$ -characters).

If the group of S -mappings of ▼ is such that each of the ♥-characters  $\mathcal{X}_{i}(g)$  coincides with the absolutely irreducible character  $\mathcal{X}_{i}(g)$ (i = 1,...,s) then each  $\mathbf{F}$ -division  $\mathbf{T}_i$  of the group  $\mathbf{G}$  evidently coincides with the class of conjugate elements  $C_i$  (i = 1,...s) and formulas  $(I^{i})$  -  $(IV^{i})$  transform, respectively, into the relations (I) - (IV) between the absolutely irreducible characters. In this case, formulas (11''.1) and (17.1) do not differ from (4.1).

finite set M;  $\Phi$ , the normal divisor of  $\Phi$ ;  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$ nonintersecting subsets of  $\Phi$ ' -equivalent elments into which M decomposes under the effect of a transformation from  $\mathbf{F}'$  . Then any transformation  $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathbf{\Phi}$  transforms

the subsets  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  into each other.

PROOF. If  $a,b\in Q_1$ , then there exists a transformation  $\psi\in \Phi'$  such that  $b=\psi(a)$ . Since  $\Phi'$  is a normal divisor of the group  $\Phi$  then  $\Phi\psi=\psi_1\Phi$ , where  $\psi_1\in \Phi'$ . This means  $\Phi(b)=\Phi\psi(a)=\psi_1\Phi(a)$ , i.e., the elements  $\Phi(a)$  and  $\Phi(b)$  are  $\Phi'$ -equivalent and belong to a certain subset  $Q_j$ . Hence,  $\Phi(Q_j)\subseteq Q_j$ . In exactly the same manner we obtain  $\Phi^{-1}(Q_j)\subseteq Q_j$ . Therefore,  $\Phi(Q_j)=Q_j$ .

The LEMMA is proved.

It is possible to consider mutually one-to-one mappings of the group G into itself which play the same part with respect to ₹-characters and ₹-divisions as do the S-mappings with respect to the absolutely irreducible characters and classes of conjugate elements of the group.

DEFINITION 5.1. A mutually one-to-one mapping  $\Phi$  of the group G into itself will be called and S- $\Xi$ -mapping if:

- 1)  $\Phi$  determines a mutually one-to-one mapping of the set of  $\Phi$ -divisions of the group G into itself.\*
- 2) For any irreducible  $\Xi$ -character  $\widetilde{\chi}(g)$  of a group G over a field of complex numbers, the function  $\widetilde{\chi}(\varphi(g))$  is also an irreducible  $\Xi$ -character.

If  $\Phi$  is a  $S-\Xi-$  mapping of G, then by virtue of the mutually one-to-one correspondence between irreducible  $\Xi-$ characters of the group G over the field of complex numbers K and over an arbitrary closed algebraic field  $\hat{K}$ , 2) is also satisfied for  $\Xi-$ characters over  $\hat{K}$ .

An example of an  $S-\Phi$ -transformation is the S-mapping  $\Phi\in N(\Phi)$ , where  $N(\Phi)$  is the normalizer of the subgroup  $\Phi$  in the group of all mutually one-to-one mappings of G into itself.

Actually, as a consequence of LEMMA 5.1. such a transformation  $\Phi$  determines the substitutions in the sets of  $\Phi$ -divisions of G and the irreducible  $\Phi$ -characters of G, i.e., conditions 1) and 2) are satisfied.

In particular, the arbitrary S-mapping  $g \rightarrow g^{\mu}$  ( $g \in G$ ,  $(\mu,h) = 1$ ) is a S- $\Xi$ -mapping with respect to any group  $\Xi$ , consisting of the transformation  $g \rightarrow g^{\nu}$  (( $\nu,h$ ) = 1) since the group of all such mappings is abelian (it is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of classes of residues mod n containing numbers mutually prime to n (see the notation (A)).

<sup>\*</sup> It can be shown, exactly as for S -mappings (see LEMMA 1.1) that condition 1) results from condition 2).

If a group  $\mathbb F$  of  $\mathbb S$  -  $\Phi$  -transformations of the group  $\mathbb G$  is given, then the set of  $\Phi$ -characters of  $\mathbb G$   $\{\widetilde{\chi}_1,\ldots,\widetilde{\chi}_q\}$  and the set  $\{\mathbb T_1,\ldots,\mathbb T_q\}$  of  $\Phi$ -divisions of  $\mathbb G$  decompose into an identical number of nonintersecting transitivity domains under the effect of transformations from  $\mathbb F$ .

This is easily proved on the basis of LEMMA 3.1 and formula (11.1) by the same means as was used to obtain THEOREM 1.1 by means of the same LEMMA and formula (h.1).

DEFINITION 6.1. Let us agree to say that an irreducible  $\Phi$ -character  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(g)$  (the  $\Phi$ -division  $T_{\mathbf{j}}$  of the group G) sustains an  $S-\Phi$ -transformation of  $\Phi$ , if  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(\Phi(g))=\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(g)$  for all  $g\in G$  (correspondingly,  $\Phi(g)\in T_{\mathbf{j}}$  if  $g\in T_{\mathbf{j}}$ ).

THEOREM 3.1. The number of irreducible  $\Phi$ -characters of the group G maintaining the S-I-transformation  $\Psi$  equals the number of I-divisions of the group sustaining this transformation.

PROOF. Let  $T_1, \ldots, T_q$  be  $\Phi$ -divisions of G,  $b_i \in T_i$  ( $i = 1, \ldots, q$ );  $\tilde{\chi}_1(g), \ldots, \tilde{\chi}_q(g)$  irreducible  $\Phi$ -characters of G;  $\alpha$  the number of  $\Phi$ -divisions of G sustaining the transformation  $\Phi$ ;  $\beta$  the number of  $\Phi$ -characters  $\tilde{\chi}_i(g)$  remaining in place under the effect  $\Phi$ .

Since  $\beta$  is independent of the algebraic, closed field  $\hat{K}$  on which the representations G are taken, then we take the field K of complex numbers as the field of representations.

Let us compute the sum by two methods:

$$D = \sum_{k=1}^{q} \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{l_{j}}{r_{k}} \hat{\chi}_{k}(\varphi(b_{j})) \hat{\chi}_{k}(b_{j}^{-1})$$
 (see notation (B))

Because of (III)

$$D = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{q} \frac{l_{j}}{r_{k}} \tilde{\chi}_{k}(\varphi(b_{j})) \tilde{\chi}_{k}(b_{j}^{-1}) \right) = h\alpha$$

and as a consequence of (IV''')

$$D = \sum_{k=1}^{q} \frac{1}{r_k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q} \gamma_j \widetilde{\chi}_k(\varphi(b_j)) \widetilde{\chi}_k(b_j^{-1}) \right) = h\beta$$

This means  $\alpha = \beta$ . The theorem is proved.

THEOREM 3.1 is a generalization of the Frobenius-Schur theorem [3].

The number of characters of the group G sustaining the transformation  $g \rightarrow g^{\mu}$  equals the number of classes of conjugate elements sustaining this transformation.

DEFINITION 7.1. Let  $F = (\Phi)$  be a cyclic group of  $S - \Phi$  transformations of the group G. Let us agree to say that an irreducible  $\Phi$ -character  $\tilde{\chi}_i(g)$  (a  $\Phi$ -division  $T_j$  of the group G) belongs to the transformation  $\Phi^k$ , if  $\Phi^k$  is a generating element of the subgroup  $F' \subseteq F$ , consisting of all transformations  $\Psi \in F$ , which the  $\Phi$ -character  $\tilde{\chi}_i(g)$  (correspondingly, the  $\Phi$ -division  $T_j$ ) maintains.

LEMMA 6.1. If  $F = (\Phi)$  is a cyclic group of  $S - \Phi$  transformations of the group G, then the number of irreducible  $\Phi$ -characters of the group G which belong to the transformation  $\Phi^k$  equals the number of  $\Phi$ -divisions of the group belonging to this transformation.

PROOF. For k=1 the statement of the theorem is valid since the irreducible  $\Phi$ -character  $\tilde{\chi}_i(g)$  (correspondingly, the  $\Phi$ -division  $T_j$  of the group G) belongs to  $\Phi$  if and only if it maintains this transformation.

Let us assume that the LEMMA is correct for all  $k \le s \le n!$ ; n! is the order of F) and let us prove it for k = s.

The  $\maltese$ -characters ( $\maltese$ -divisions) maintaining the transformation  $\phi^S$  evidently belong to the transformations  $\phi^k$ , where  $0 < k \le s$  and  $s \equiv 0 \pmod k$ .

Let s, s<sub>1</sub>,...,s<sub>m</sub> be all positive divisors of s;  $\beta_i$  ( $\beta_i$ ) the number of irreducible  $\Phi$ -characters (correspondingly  $\Phi$ -divisions of G) belonging to  $\phi^{S_i}$  (i = 1,...,m);  $\Upsilon$  ( $\Upsilon^i$ ) the number of  $\Phi$ -characters  $\widetilde{\chi}_i$ (g) (correspondingly,  $\Phi$ -divisions  $\Phi$ -divisions  $\Phi$ -divisions  $\Phi$ -divisions of  $\Phi$ -divisions of

The following equalities hold:

 $\delta = 7 + \beta_1 + \ldots + \beta_m \; ; \qquad \delta' = 7' + \beta_1' + \ldots + \beta_m'$  by virtue of the assumptions of induction,  $\beta_1 = \beta_1' \quad (i = 1, \ldots, m) \quad \text{and},$   $\delta = \delta' \quad \text{because of THEOREM 3.1.} \quad \text{This means} \quad 7 = 7' \; .$ 

The LEMMA is proved.

THEOREM 4.1. The  $S-\Phi$ -transformations of a set of irreducible  $\Phi$  characters and  $\Phi$ -divisions of G decompose, under the effect of a cyclic group F, into an identical number of regions of transitivity, where the appropriate regions of transitivity of these sets contain the same number of elements.

PROOF. Let  $M_1, ..., M_t$   $(M_1^t, ..., M_t^t)$  be subsets of elements mutually

equivalent, into which the set of irreducible  $\Phi$ -characters of G (correspondingly, the set of  $\Phi$ -divisions of G) is decomposed under the effect of transformations from F.

Let us assume that n' is the order of the group  $F=(\phi)$ ;  $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k$  is such a system of positive divisors of n' that each irreducible  $\Phi$ -character ( $\Phi$ -division of G) belongs to one of the transformations  $\phi^{\alpha_1}$ , (i = 1,...,k).

The  $\Phi$ -character  $\tilde{\chi}_j(g)$  (correspondingly, the  $\Phi$ -division  $T_j$  of the group G) belongs to the transformation  $\phi^{\alpha j}$  if and only if the number of elements in the set  $M_q \supseteq \tilde{\chi}_j$  (correspondingly, in the set  $M_s \supseteq T_j$ ) equals  $\alpha_i$  ( $\alpha_i$  is the index in F of the subgroup ( $\phi^{\alpha j}$ ) which maintains  $\tilde{\chi}_j(T_j)$  in place).

If  $m_i$  irreducible  $\Phi$ -characters belong to the transformation  $\phi^{\text{i}}$ , then just as many  $\Phi$ -divisions of G belong to this transformation because of LEMMA 6.1.

Therefore, there exists exactly  $\frac{m_i}{\alpha_i}$  subsets  $M_j$  containing  $\alpha_i$  elements and the same conclusion would be valid for the set  $M_j^!$ .

The THEOREM is proved.

THEOREM 4.1 is a strengthening of THEOREM 4.1 for the case of a cyclic group F of  $S - \Phi$ -transformations.

If the group F is not cyclic, then THEOREM 4.1 is not true, as can easily be shown by examples.

Let us consider the application of the results obtained to the theory of representations of finite groups on an arbitrary field. Let us use the notations (A) and (B).

Let K' be an arbitrary field whose characteristic does not divide the order h of the finite group G;  $\hat{K}$  the algebraic closure of K'; n the least common multiple of the orders of the elements of G;  $\epsilon$  the primary root of degree n of 1; F the Galois group of the field K'( $\epsilon$ ) on K'. Each automorphism  $\psi \in F$  is given by the formula (19.1)  $\psi(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{\psi}$ ;  $(\nu,n) = 1$ 

In conformance with the group F , let us substitute its isomorphic group  $\blacksquare$  consisting of the S -transformation  $g \to g^{\nu}$  ( $g \in G$ ), where  $\nu$  is an integer such that the transformation  $\epsilon \to \epsilon^{\nu}$  defines the automorphism  $\psi \in F$ .

DEFINITION 8.1. Let  $\maltese$  be a group of S-mappings of G corresponding to the Galois group of the field  $K'(\epsilon)$  on K' (the group F). Let us call the  $\maltese$ -divisions of the group G, the characters of G and the minimum idempotents of the center  $R(G, \hat{K})$ , respectively, the K'-divisions of G, the K'-divisions of the characters of G and the K'-divisions of the minimum idempotents of the center  $R(G, \hat{K})$ .

The characters of one K' -division of the characters, the idempotents of one K' -division of the idempotents and the elements of one K' -division of the group G will be called K' conjugate

The characters of the representations of G on K' (traces of the representation matrix) will be called K'-characters. The characters  $\chi_{\underline{i}}'(g)$  ( $\underline{i}=1,\ldots,r$ ) of representations  $\Gamma_{\underline{i}}'$  ( $\underline{i}=1,\ldots,r$ ) of the group G which are irreducible on K' will be called irreducible K'-characters. We shall retain the terminology character for the traces of matrices of absolutely irreducible representations.

Let  $X_i = \{\hat{\chi}_{i1}, \dots, \hat{\chi}_{ir_i}\}$  (i = 1,...,q) be K'-divisions of characters of the group G;  $E_i = \{\hat{e}_{i1}, \dots, \hat{e}_{ir_i}\}$  (i = 1,...,q) the corresponding K'-divisions of the minimum idempotents of the center  $R(G,\hat{K})$ ;  $T_1,\dots,T_q$  the K'-divisions of G;  $Z_i$  the order of  $T_i$  (i = 1,...,q);  $b_1,\dots,b_q$  is a subset of the elements of G such that  $b_i \in T_i$  (i = 1,...,q). If  $\hat{e}_j = \frac{n_j}{h} \sum_{g \in G} \hat{\chi}_j(g^{-1})g$  [see (4.1)] are minimum idempotents of the center  $R(G,\hat{K})$  and  $\Phi \in \Phi$  is an S-mapping corresponding to the automorphism  $\Psi \in F$  given by formula (19.1), then because of (6.1) and (5.1)

$$\varphi(e_{\mathbf{j}}) = \frac{n_{\mathbf{j}}}{h} \sum_{g \in G} \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{j}}(\varphi^{-1}(g^{-1}))g = \frac{n_{\mathbf{j}}}{h} \sum_{g \in G} \psi^{-1}[\hat{\chi}(g^{-1})]g$$

Hence, the effect of the S -mapping  $\phi$  on  $\hat{e}_j$  reduces to the effect of the automorphism  $\psi^{-1} \in F$  on the coefficient of this idempotent.

Since each idempotent  $e \in Z_{\widehat{K}}$  ( $Z_{\widehat{K}}$  is the center  $R(G,\widehat{K})$ ) is represented as the sum of certain of the minimum idempotents  $\hat{e}_j$ , then it is hence easy to conclude that  $e \in R(G,K')$  if and only if e is a  $\Phi$ -idempotent, i.e., maintains the effect of all transformations  $\varphi \in \Phi$  (the element  $u \in K^i(\epsilon)$  in this and only this case belongs to the fundamental field K' when  $\psi(u) = u$  for all automorphisms  $\psi \in F$ ).

Therefore, the minimum idempotents of the center  $R(G,K^1)$  coincide

given by the formulas:

(20.1) 
$$\mathbf{e_i'} = \mathbf{\hat{e}_{i1}} + \dots + \mathbf{\hat{e}_{ir_i}} = \frac{\mathbf{n_i}}{\mathbf{h}} \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in G} \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{g}^{-1})\mathbf{g}$$

where the  $\Phi$  - character  $\widetilde{\chi}_{i}(g)$  equals:

(20°.1) 
$$\tilde{\chi}_{i}(g) = \hat{\chi}_{i}(g) + ... + \hat{\chi}_{i}(g)$$
 (i = 1,...,q)

(20'.1)  $\tilde{\chi}_{i}(g) = \hat{\chi}_{i1}(g) + ... + \hat{\chi}_{ir}(g)$  (i = 1,...,q) (n<sub>i</sub> is the degree of the absolutely irreducible representation corresponding to any of the characters  $\mathcal{H}_{i,j}$  (j = 1,...,r<sub>i</sub>); see (11''.1)).

Each minimum idempotent  $e_i^*$  (i = 1,...,q) of the center  $R(G,K^*)$ generates a minimum two-sided ideal  $I_i^!$  in  $R(G,K^!)$  which is isomorphic to the complete matrix ring on the set  $D_i$  with index  $m_i$  . The representation  $T_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}$  of the group G (i = 1,...,q) , which is irreducible on  $K^{\epsilon}$  , corresponds to this ideal.

Since q, by virtue of THEOREM 1.1, is the number of K'-divisions of G, then the following theorem holds [8]:

THEOREM 5.1. The number of irreducible representations of a finite group G on an arbitrary field K' whose characteristic does not divide the order of G equals the number of K'-divisions of the group G.

There results from (20.1) and (20.1) that the representation  $T_{i}$ ! decomposes into a sum of absolutely irreducible representations corresponding to the characters  $\hat{\chi}_{i1}, \ldots, \hat{\chi}_{ir_i}$  (i = 1,...,q). Hence, according to (4'.1), each of these representations enters into the decomposition of  $\hat{T}_i$ ' with the multiplicity  $m_i$  (i = 1,...,q). Hence, the irreducible  $K^i$  -charof the representations  $I_1^{i}$  (i = 1,...,q) are expressed by acters  $\chi_{!}(g)$ the formula:

(21.1) 
$$\hat{\chi}_{i}(g) = m_{i}(\hat{\chi}_{i1}(g) + ... + \hat{\chi}_{ir_{i}}(g)) = m_{i}\hat{\chi}_{i}(g)$$
 (i = 1,...,q)

from which

(22.1) 
$$\tilde{\chi}_{i}(g) = \frac{\chi_{i}(g)}{m_{i}}$$
 (i = 1,...,q)

 $(m_{ extbf{i}} \;\; ext{divides} \;\; m_{ extbf{i}} \;\; ext{, which means it is not divided by the characteristic of}$ the field  $\hat{K}$  ).

Substituting the  $\Phi$ -character  $\tilde{\chi}_{i}(g)$  (formula (22.1)) into relations (III'), (III'), (IV'), we obtain the following fundamental relations between the characters of the irreducible representations of a group on the field K':

(the second fundamental relation between the irreducible K' -characters);

(III'') 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{1}{r_{j}^{m_{j}^{2}}} \chi_{j}^{i}(b_{i}) \chi_{j}^{i}(b_{k}^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq k \\ \frac{h}{l_{i}} & \text{if } i = k \end{cases}$$

(the third fundamental relation between the irreducible K' -characters);

$$(\text{IV''}) \qquad \qquad \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\mathbf{i}}'(g) \chi_{\mathbf{j}}'(g^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \text{hr}_{\mathbf{i}} m_{\mathbf{i}}^{2} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

(the fourth fundamental relation between the irreducible K'-characters).

The first fundamental relation between irreducible K'-characters is obtained by starting from the expansion formula of the direct product of  $\Gamma_{i}$  and  $\Gamma_{j}$  into irreducible representations:  $\Gamma_{i}$  x  $\Gamma_{j}$  =  $\sum_{k=1}^{q} \alpha_{ij}^{(k)} \Gamma_{k}$  from which

$$\chi_{\mathbf{i}}(g)\chi_{\mathbf{j}}(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{q} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}^{(k)}\chi_{\mathbf{k}}(g)$$

(the first fundamental relation between irreducible K'-characters).

Let us note that relations (II') and (III'), just exactly as the corresponding formulas for the  $\Phi$ -characters, are valid under the assumption that the orders of the K'-divisions  $l_i$  and the numbers  $r_i$  ( $i=1,\ldots,q$ ) are not divided by the characteristic of the field K'.

Substituting the  $\mathbf{\Xi}$ -character  $\widetilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{g})$  given by (22.1) into (I'), we arrive at the equality:

$$\chi_{\mathbf{j}}(g)\chi_{\mathbf{j}}(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{q} \frac{\gamma_{\mathbf{ij}}^{(k)} m_{\mathbf{i}} m_{\mathbf{j}}}{m_{\mathbf{k}}} \chi_{\mathbf{k}}(g)$$

Comparing (I'') and (I'''), we obtain the relation

(23.1) 
$$\gamma_{ij}^{(k)} = \frac{\alpha_{ij}^{(k)} \cdot m_k}{m_i m_j}$$

The following proposition results from (23.1):

Let K' be a field of characteristics zero;  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ ' a representation of the group G with the character  $\gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ , which is irreducible on K';  $m_{\mathbf{i}}$  the Schur index of the absolutely irreducible component of the representation  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ ' relative to K'. If the direct product of the representations  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ ' and  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{j}}$ ' contains  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}$ ' for  $\alpha_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}^{(k)}$  times, then the ratio  $\alpha_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}^{(k)}$  is an integer.

Let us consider other facts of the theory of representations on an arbitrary field, which can be obtained on the basis of the properties of  $\Phi$ -characters.

A direct corollary of THEOREM 3.1 and formula (21.1) is the theorem: The number of irreducible K'-characters of a finite group G sustaining the S-transformation  $g \longrightarrow g^V$  (group automorphism) equals the number of K'-divisions of the group sustaining this transformation (this automorphism).

This result also admits such a formulation:

THEOREM 6.1. The number of irreducible representations of a finite group G on a field K<sup>1</sup>, whose characteristic does not divide the order of G, where the representations remain mutually equivalent for a given automorphism  $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon^{\nu}$  of a field of characters (for a given group automorphism), equals the number of K<sup>1</sup>-divisions of a group which sustain the transformation  $g \to g^{\nu}$  (this automorphism).

For the case of representations on an algebraically closed field, another solution of the question of the number of irreducible representations which transform into equivalent representations for a given group automorphism is given in [11].

Using THEOREM 4.1, the question of the isomorphism of centers of group algebras of certain classes of groups can be solved.

Let us consider the decomposition of the center  $Z_K$ , of the algebra  $R(G,K^\dagger)$  into a direct sum of subfields of the field  $K^\dagger(\epsilon)$ , corresponding to the two-sided decomposition (1.1) of the algebra  $R(G,K^\dagger)$ :

$$Z_{K'} = Z_1' + \dots + Z_q'$$

 $(Z_i^{\varrho}$  is the center of the ideal  $I_i'$ ; i = i, ..., q).

The minimum idempotents  $e_i \in I_i \cap Z_K$ , are units of the field  $Z_i$  (i = 1, ..., q).

It is easy to see that the degree of the field  $Z_i^*$  on  $K^*$  equals  $r_i$ , the number of minimum idempotents of the center  $R(G,\hat{K})$ , into the sum of which the  $e_i^*$  is decomposed [see (20.1)].

Actually, in the extension of the field K' to the field  $\hat{K}$ , the two-sided ideal  $I_i \subseteq R(G,K)$  transforms into the two-sided ideal  $\hat{I}_i = R(G,\hat{K})e_i^*$  of the algebra  $R(G,\hat{K})$  and the center  $Z_i^*$  of the ideal  $I_i^*$  into the center  $\hat{Z}_i^*$  of the ideal  $\hat{I}_i^*$  on  $K^*$ 

equals the dimensionality of  $\hat{Z}_i$  on  $\hat{K}$ . But the dimensionality of  $\hat{Z}_i$  on  $\hat{K}$  equals  $r_i$  ( $i=1,\ldots,q$ ). This means that the degree of  $Z_i^*$  on  $K^*$  equals  $r_i$ .

Let us note that  $r_i$  is the number of absolutely irreducible characters in a K'-division of characters  $X_i$ , the corresponding K'-division of the idempotents of the center  $\{\hat{e}_{i1}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{ir.}\}$ .

Now, let us assume that the Galois group of the field  $K^i(\epsilon)$  on  $K^i$  is cyclic. Then, by virtue of THEOREM 4.1, a  $K^i$ -division  $T_i$  of the group G corresponds to each  $K^i$ -division of the characters  $X_i$  so that  $T_i$  contains as many classes of conjugate elements as there are classes in  $X_i$  ( $i=1,\ldots,q$ ).

Therefore, the numbers  $r_1, \dots, r_q$  coincide with the numbers of classes in the corresponding K'-divisions of the group G .

Hence, there at once results

THEOREM 7.1. Let G and H be finite groups; m the least common multiple of the orders of elements of G and H; K' an arbitrary field whose characteristic does not divide m;  $\epsilon$  a primitive root of degree m of unity. If the Galois group of the field  $K(\epsilon')$  on K' is cyclic, then the centers of the group algebras R(G,K') and R(H,K') are isomorphic if and only if there exists a mutual one-to-one correspondence between the K' divisions of the groups G and H for which the appropriate K' -divisions of these groups contain the identical number of classes of conjugate elements.

Actually, the fields into a sum of which the centers of the algebras  $R(G,K^*)$  and  $R(H,K^*)$  are decomposed are subfields of the field  $K^*(\epsilon)$  and the intermediate subfield of a cyclic extension of the field  $K^*$  is determined uniquely by its degree on  $K^*$ .

COROLLARY: If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- a) The order of each of the groups G and H equals  $p^{\alpha}$  or  $2p^{\alpha}$  (p an odd prime),
  - b) K' is a field of characteristics p > 0,
  - c)  $K^{\dagger}$  is a field of real numbers,

then a necessary and sufficient condition of isomorphism of the centers of the group algebras R(G,K') and R(H,K') is the existence of a mutually one-to-one correspondence between the K'-divisions of G and H for which the corresponding K'-divisions of these groups contain the same number of classes.

Actually, in cases a), b), c), the Galois group of the field  $K^{i}(\epsilon)$  on  $K^{i}$  is cyclic (it is assumed, as before, that the characteristic of the field  $K^{i}$  does not divide the orders of the groups under consideration).

If the orders of the groups G and H equal  $2^m$ , then the isomorphism of the centers of the group algebras  $R(G,K^*)$  and  $R(H,K^*)$  does not result from the coincidence of the numbers of classes in the corresponding  $K^*$  divisions of these groups.

For example, let us consider the centers of group algebras on the field R of rational numbers of two groups of 16-th order:

G: 
$$a^8 = 1$$
,  $b^2 = 1$ ,  $b^{-1}ab = a^7$ ;  
H:  $c^8 = 1$ ,  $d^2 = 1$ ,  $d^{-1}cd = c^3$ 

Let  $Z_1$  be the center of R(G,R),  $Z_2$  the center of R(H,R). It is easy to obtain the following decompositions for  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ :

$$Z_1 \stackrel{\mbox{\tiny def}}{=} R + R + R + R + R + R + R(\sqrt{2})$$
  
 $Z_2 \stackrel{\mbox{\tiny def}}{=} R + R + R + R + R + R(\sqrt{-2})$ 

This means the algebras  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are not isomorphic. Moreover, the corresponding divisions of these groups contain the same number of elements (R-divisions of the arbitrary finite group coincide with divisions of the group).

The COROLLARY to THEOREM 7.1 remains valid for Abelian groups of order  $2^m$ . This results from the following theorem:

THEOREM 8.1. If G is a finite Abelian group of order h and  $K^{*}$  is a field whose characteristic does not divide h, then in the decomposition of  $R(G,K^{*})$  into a direct sum of minimum ideals

$$R(G,K') = I_1' \dotplus \dots \dotplus I_q'$$

each ideal  $I_i^!$  is isomorphic to the field  $K'(\xi_i)$ , where  $\xi_i$  is a root of degree  $\alpha_i$  (h = 0(mod  $\alpha_i$ )) of unity, and the degree of the fields  $I_i^!$  (i = 1,...,q) on K' coincide with the orders of the corresponding K' divisions of G.

<sup>\*</sup> Remark during proof-reading. If G is a group all of whose representations are monomials (M-group), then the degrees of the absolutely irreducible representations of the group coincide with the indices of some of its subgroups. For M-groups, the necessary and sufficient conditions of isomorphism of completely reducible group algebras are included in the coincidence of the indices of the corresponding subgroups. Conditions of the isomorphism of centers of group algebras of an M-group can be obtained by this means and for certain classes of M-groups (for example, for p groups and groups with order without squares) necessary and sufficient conditions of isomorphism of group algebras on an arbitrary field whose characteristic does not divide the orders of the groups considered can be proved. The author published these results partially in [19].

PROOF. Let us denote the idempotent generating the ideal  $I_t^i$  by  $e_1^i$  ( $i=1,\ldots,q$ ). Identifying elements of the form  $\lambda e_1^i$  ( $\lambda \in K^i$ ) with the elements  $\lambda$ , it can be stated that each field  $I_1^i$  is obtained by adjoining a finite number of roots of unity of the form  $ge_1^i$  ( $g \in G$ ), whose degrees divide h, to the field  $K^i$ . This means  $I_1^i = K^i(\xi_1)$ , where  $\xi_1$  is a certain root of unity of order  $\alpha_i$  ( $h \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha_i}$ ) ( $i=1,\ldots,q$ ).

Let G be decomposed into a direct product of cyclic groups of orders  $h_1, \ldots, h_r$  with the generating elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ , respectively. Then the complete system of minimum idempotents of  $R(G,\widehat{K})$  is given by the formulas:

(24'.1) 
$$e_{i_1,\ldots,i_r} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{t_1=0}^{h_1-1} \sum_{t_r=0}^{h_r-1} -i_1t_1 \dots e_r^{-i_rt_r} t_1 \dots t_r^{-i_rt_r}$$

 $(i_j = 0, \dots, h_j - 1 \ ; \ j = 1, \dots, r)$  (\$\varepsilon\_j\$ is a primitive root of degree \$h\_j\$ of \$1 \ ; \$j = 1, \dots, r\$) and the elements of \$G\$ are expressed as follows in terms of the minimum idempotents of \$R(G, \hat{K})\$:

$$(2h^{i}.1) \quad a_{1}^{i}...a_{r}^{i} = \sum_{t_{1}=0}^{h_{1}-1} \sum_{t_{2}=0}^{h_{1}-1} \sum_{t_{1}=0}^{i} t_{1}^{t_{1}}...\epsilon_{r}^{i} \stackrel{?}{e}_{t_{1},...,t_{r}}^{t_{r}}$$

$$(i_{j}=0,...,h_{j}-1; j=1,...,r)$$

 $(i_j = 0, \dots, h_j - 1 \; ; \; j = 1, \dots, r)$  If the element  $a^1 \dots a^r$  is substituted in conformance with the idempotent  $a^1 \dots a^r$ , then it is easy to see that the number of minimum idempotents of  $R(G, \hat{K})$  which are K' -conjugate to  $a_1 \dots a_r$  equals the number of elements of G which are K' -conjugate to  $a_1 \dots a_r$ .

Therefore, the orders of  $K^*$ -divisions of G coincide with the degrees of the fields  $I^*_{\cdot}$  on  $K^*$ .

The THEOREM is proved.

COROLLARY. The group algebras R(G,K') and R(H,K') of the primary Abelian, finite groups G and H are isomorphic if and only if G and H contain the same number of K'-divisions and the orders of the corresponding K'-divisions of these groups coincide.

PROOF. The necessary and sufficient condition of the isomorphism of two fields  $K'(\epsilon_1)$  and  $K'(\epsilon_2)$ , where  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$  are, respectively, roots of degrees  $p^t$  and  $p^m$  of unity (p is an arbitrary prime), is the coincidence of their degrees on K' since one of them is always isomorphic to the subfield of the other.

The necessary condition of the isomorphism of centers of group algebras of arbitrary groups on an arbitrary field gives the following:

THEOREM 9.1. Let G and H be finite groups; m the least common multiple of the orders of elements of G and H; K! an arbitrary field with characteristic which does not divide m;  $\xi$  a primitive root of degree m of unity. Let  $K' = P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \ldots \subset P_r = K!(\xi)$  be an increasing chain of fields, where  $P_{i+1}$  is the cyclic extension of the field  $P_i$  (i = 0,...,r-1). If the centers of the group algebras R(G,K!) and R(H,K!) are isomorphic, then between the  $P_i$  -divisions of the groups G and H there exists a mutually one-to-one correspondence for which the corresponding  $P_i$  -divisions of these groups contain the same number of  $P_{i+1}$  -divisions (i = 0,...,r-1).

PROOF. Let  $F_i$  be a Galois group of the field  $K^i(\xi)$  on  $P_i$ ;  $F_{i+1}$  a Galois group of the field  $K^i(\xi)$  on  $P_{i+1}$ . Then  $F_i/F_{i+1}$  is a Galois group of the field  $P_{i+1}$  on  $P_i$ . By virtue of the conditions of the theorem, this group is cyclic.

Let  $T_1, \ldots, T_m$  be  $P_{i+1}$  -divisions of G;  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$  minimum idempotents of the center of the group algebra  $R(G,P_{i+1})$ . The groups  $\Phi_i$  and  $\Phi_{i+1}$  of the S-mapping  $g \to g^{\nu}$  ( $g \in G$ ) correspond to the groups  $F_i$  and  $F_{i+1}$  to which they are isomorphic, where  $\Phi_{i+1}$  is a cyclic group since  $\Phi_{i+1} = F_{i+1} = F_{i+1}$ .

Evidently, the mappings  $\varphi \in \mathbf{F}_{i+1}$  retain each idempotent  $e_j$  and each  $P_{i+1}$  -division  $T_j$   $(j=1,\ldots,m)$  in place. Hence, there results that the transformations  $\varphi \in \mathbf{F}_i$  from one adjacent class  $\mathbf{F}_i$  to  $\mathbf{F}_{i+1}$  of the same affect the idempotents  $e_j$  and the  $P_{i+1}$  -divisions  $T_j$   $(j=1,\ldots,m)$ . This means that the factor-group  $\mathbf{F}_i/\mathbf{F}_{i+1}$  can be considered as a cyclic group of  $S-I_{i+1}$  -transformations of the group G (see DEFINITION 5.1).

On the basis of THEOREM 4.1, the set  $\{T_1,\ldots,T_m\}$  of  $P_{i+1}$  -divisions of G and the set  $\{e_1,\ldots,e_m\}$  of minimum idempotents of the center  $R(G,P_{i+1})$  decompose under the effect of the group  $\Phi_{i+1}$  of  $S-\Phi_{i+1}$  transformations into the same number of transitivity regions so that the corresponding transitivity regions of these sets contain the same number of elements  $(P_{i+1}$  -divisions and idempotents  $e_i$ , respectively):

The number of  $P_i$  -divisions of the group 3 equals k and coincides with the number of minimum idempotents of the center  $\mathbb{R}(\mathfrak{I},P_i)$ . Each  $\mathbb{F}_i$  division  $\widetilde{T}_j$  ( $j=1,\ldots,k_i$ ) is a set-theoretic sum of elements of the group G belonging to the  $P_{i+1}$  -divisions  $T_{j1},\ldots,T_{js}$  of the set  $M_j$  ( $j=1,\ldots,k_i$ ). The minimum idempotents  $\widetilde{e}_1,\ldots,\widetilde{e}_{k_i}$  of the center  $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{G},\mathbb{F}_i)$  are given by the formulas:

$$\tilde{e}_{j} = e_{j1} + \dots + e_{js_{j}}$$
  $(j = 1, \dots, k_{i})$ 

If the centers of the group algebras R(G,K') and R(H,K') are isomorphic, then the centers of the group algebras  $R(G,P_j)$  and  $R(H,P_j)$  (j = 0,...,r) are also isomorphic.

This means that the group algebra  $R(H,P_i)$  contains exactly  $k_i$  minimum idempotents of the center  $\tilde{e}_1',\ldots,\tilde{e}_k'$  and these latter are decomposed in  $R(H,P_{i+1})$  into the same number of minimum idempotents of the center  $R(H,P_{i+1})$  as are the corresponding idempotents  $\tilde{e}_1,\ldots,\tilde{e}_{k_i}$ :

$$e_{j}^{i} = e_{j1}^{i} + ... + e_{js_{j}}^{i}$$
 (j = 1,...,k<sub>i</sub>)

Reasoning analogously for H as was done for G. we obtain that the numbers  $s_1, \ldots, s_k$  coincide with the numbers of  $P_{i+1}$  -divisions in the  $F_i$  -divisions of H (i = 0, ..., r-1).

The THEOREM is proved.

## § 2. INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS

1. Relations between  $\Phi$ -Characters of Groups and Subgroups. In this paragraph, we assume that the algebraically closed field  $\tilde{K}$  has characteristic zero.

Let G be a group of order h; H a subgroup of order h; of the group G;  $\Phi$  such a group of S-mappings of the group G that each S mapping  $\Psi \in \Phi$  transforms H into itself and is a S-mapping of this subgroup. Then  $\Phi$  induces the group  $\Phi$ ! of S-mappings of the group H.

Each representation  $\Gamma$  of the group G on the field  $\hat{K}$  induces a representation of the subgroup H which we will denote by  $\Gamma$   $\downarrow$ (H).

Conversely, the representation  $\Gamma'$  of the subgroup H induces the representation  $\Gamma^{\uparrow}(G)$  of the group G.

If  $\chi(a)$  is a character of the representation  $\Gamma'$  of the subgroup H then the character  $\chi^*(g)$  of the induced representation of the group G is given by the formula:

(1.2) 
$$\chi^*(g) = \frac{1}{h!} \sum_{c \in G} \chi(c^{-1}gc)$$
  $(\chi(c^{-1}gc) = 0 \text{ if } c^{-1}gc \in H)$ 

Formula (1.2) can also be written in the following form:

(1'.2) 
$$\chi^*(g) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in C_g \cap H} \frac{h}{h! \cdot h} \chi(\mathbf{a})$$
 where  $C_g$  is the class of conjugate elements of the group  $G$  containing

the element g;  $h_g$  is the order of the class  $C_g$ .

If  $\phi \in \Phi$ , the following formula holds:

$$(2.2) C_{\varphi(g)} \cap H = \varphi(C_g \cap H)$$

 $C_{\phi(g)} \cap H = \phi(C_g \cap H)$  Actually, if  $x \in C_g \cap H$ , then  $\phi(x) \in H$  (H sustains the transformation from the group  $\Phi$  according to the condition) and  $\Phi(x) \in C_{\Phi(g)}$  because is an S -mapping of the group G .

Conversely, if  $x \in C_{\phi(g)} \cap H$  , then there exists an element  $y \in C_g$ such that  $\varphi(y) = x$  but since  $x \in H$ , then also  $y \in H$ , i.e.,  $y \in C_g^{\triangleright} \cap H$ .

LEMMA 1.2. If  $\Gamma$  is a  $\Phi$ -representation of G, then  $\Gamma \downarrow (H)$  is a  $\Phi^!$  -representation of H . If  $\Gamma^!$  is a  $\Phi^!$  -representation of H , then  $\Gamma$ ,  $\uparrow$ (G) is a  $\Phi$ -representation of G (see DEFINITION 4.1).

The first statement of the lemma is obvious. Let  $\chi(a)$  be a character of the  $\Phi'$  -representation  $\Gamma'$  of the group H . By virtue of (1'.2), the character  $\chi^*(g)$  of the representation  $\Gamma^* \uparrow (G)$  is expressed by the formula:

$$\chi^*(g) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in C_g \cap H} \frac{h}{h! \cdot h} \chi(\mathbf{a})$$

If  $\varphi \in \Phi$  then because of (2.2)

$$\chi^{*}(\varphi(g)) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in C_{\varphi(g)} \cap H} \frac{h}{h! \cdot h_{g}} \cdot \chi(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \varphi(C_{g} \cap H)} \frac{h}{h! \cdot h_{g}} \chi(\mathbf{a})$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in C_{g} \cap H} \frac{h}{h! \cdot h_{g}} \chi(\varphi(\mathbf{a})) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in C_{g} \cap H} \frac{h}{h! \cdot h_{g}} \chi(\mathbf{a}) = \chi^{*}(g)$$

This means  $\Gamma' \uparrow (G)$  is a  $\Phi$ -representation of G. The LEMMA is proved.

Let  $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_t$  be irreducible  $\Phi$ -representations of the group G; the character of the representation  $\Gamma_{i}$  (i = 1,...,t);  $\Gamma_{i}^{i}$ ,..., $\Gamma_{q}^{i}$  $\Phi^{:}$  -irreducible representations of the subgroup H ;  $\chi^{:}_{i}$  the character of the representation  $\Gamma_{i}$  (i = 1,...,q);  $r_{i}$  ( $r_{i}$ ) the number of characters of a  $\Phi$ -division of characters of the group G (of a  $\Phi$ ' -division of the

Let us consider the representation  $\Gamma_i \downarrow$  (H) of the subgroup H induced by the representation  $\Gamma_i$  of the group G .

By virtue of LEMMA 1.2,  $\Gamma_{i\downarrow}(H)$  is a  $\Phi'$ -representation of the group H. This means  $\Gamma_{i\downarrow}(H)$  decomposes into a sum of  $\Phi'$ -irreducible representations (see LEMMA 4.1):

(3.2) 
$$\Gamma_{i} \downarrow (H) = \lambda_{i1} \Gamma_{i} + \dots + \lambda_{iq} \Gamma_{q}$$

 $(\lambda_{i})$  are non-negative integers).

In conformance with (3.2), we obtain the formula

(4.2) 
$$\chi_{i}(a^{-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \chi_{ij} \chi_{j}(a^{-1})$$
 (a  $\in H$ )

Let us multiply both sides of (4.2) by  $\chi_s^!(a)$  and let us sum over all elements  $a \in H$  taking (IV'') (§ 1) into account:

(5.2) 
$$\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{H}} \chi_{\mathbf{j}}^{!}(\mathbf{a}) \chi_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{H}} \sum_{\mathbf{j}=1}^{q} \lambda_{\mathbf{j}} \chi_{\mathbf{j}}^{!}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \chi_{\mathbf{j}}^{!}(\mathbf{a})$$
$$= \sum_{\mathbf{j}=1}^{q} \lambda_{\mathbf{j}} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{H}} \chi_{\mathbf{j}}^{!}(\mathbf{a}) \chi_{\mathbf{j}}^{!}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \right) = \lambda_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{s}} r_{\mathbf{s}}^{!} h_{\mathbf{j}}^{!}$$

Multiplying the right and left sides of (5.2) by  $\frac{\chi_{i}(g)}{r_{i}}$  ( $g \in G$ ) and summing over i, we obtain the relation

(6.2) 
$$\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{H}} \chi_{\mathbf{s}}^{!}(\mathbf{a}) \sum_{\mathbf{i}=1}^{t} \chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{g}) \chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}=1}^{t} \frac{1}{r_{\mathbf{i}}} \chi_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{s}^{r_{\mathbf{s}}^{!} h^{!}} \cdot \chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{g})$$

Let  $T_g$  be a  $\Xi$ -division of the group G containing the element g,  $l_g$  the order of  $T_g$ . By virtue of (III'), the following equality follows from (6.2):

(7.2) 
$$\frac{1}{h!} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T_g \cap H} \frac{h}{l_g} \chi_s'(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{\mathbf{r}_s'}{\mathbf{r}_i} \chi_{is} \chi_i(\mathbf{g})$$

Let the  $\Phi$ -division  $^{5}T_{g}$  consist of the classes  $^{C}G_{g}, ^{C}Q_{1}(g), \ldots, ^{C}Q_{k-1}(g)$  ( $^{\phi}Q_{1} \in \Phi$ ;  $i=1,\ldots,k-1$ ). Then  $Q_{g} = kh_{g}$ , where  $h_{g}$  is the order of the class  $^{C}G_{g}$ . Since the character  $\mathcal{X}'_{S}(a)$  is a function of the  $\Phi'$ -division of the group H (see THEOREM 2.1), then because of (2.2)

(8.2) 
$$\frac{1}{h!} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in T_g \cap H} \frac{h}{l_g} \chi_s^{\prime}(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{k}{h!} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in C_g \cap H} \frac{h}{k \cdot h_g} \chi_s^{\prime}(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{h!} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in C_g \cap H} \frac{h}{h_g} \chi_s^{\prime}(\mathbf{a})$$

By virtue of (8.2), the equality (7.2) can be written as:

(9.2) 
$$\frac{1}{h!} \sum_{a \in C_g \cap H} \frac{h}{h_g} \chi_s'(a) = \sum_{i=1}^t \lambda_{is} \frac{r_s'}{r_i} \chi_i(g)$$

The left side of (9.2) is a character of the  $\pm$ -representation  $\Gamma_s^{,\uparrow}(G)$  of the induced  $\pm$ '-representation  $\Gamma_s^{,\downarrow}$  of the group H [see (1'.2)].

Hence, from (9.2) there results the statement generalizing the Frobenius duality theorem [2]:

The following holds for induced representations over an arbitrary field

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma$ ' be irreducible representations of the group G and its subgroup H, respectively, over an arbitrary field K' of characteristic zero. Let the minimum, two-sided ideal I (I'), isomorphic to the complete matrix ring on a field D (D') correspond to the representation  $\Gamma$  ( $\Gamma$ ') in R(G,K') (R(H,K')).

If the representation  $\Gamma \downarrow (H)$  contains the representation  $\Gamma \mid \alpha$  times, then the representation  $\Gamma \mid \uparrow (G)$  contains the representation  $\Gamma \mid \alpha \frac{d!}{d}$  times, where d (d!) is the dimensionality of the field D (D!) over K!.

The proof of the theorem is based on formula (IV''') and (III'') of § 1 by the same means as the proof of THEOREM 1.2 is based on (IV'') and (III').

Relations (IV''') and (III''), respectively, are obtained from (IV'') and (III') by replacing  $r_i$  by  $r_i m_i^2 = d_i$  ( $r_i$  is the dimensionality of the center of the field  $D_i$  over K';  $m_i^2$  is the dimensionality of  $D_i$  over its center). Consequently, the ratio  $\frac{d^i}{d}$  appears instead of the ratio  $\frac{r^i}{r}$  in the formulation of THEOREM 2.2. THEOREM 2.2. is also a generalization of the Frobenius duality theorem.

2. p -adic Ring of K'-Characters. The Brauer [5] theorem plays an important part in the theory of induced representations of a group:

Each character of a finite group G is an integer linear combination of characters induced by characters of elementary subgroups of the group G.

The direct product of a p -group and a cyclic group whose order is not divided by p is called an elementary group.

The Brauer theorem is obtained by Roquette [7] as a consequence of a number of structural theorems relative to a p-adic ring of absolutely irreducible characters of a finite group.

A p-adic ring of characters of irreducible representations of a group G over an arbitrary field K' of zero characteristic is investigated in this paragraph by the Roquette method. A generalization of the Brauer theorem for representations over the field K' is obtained as a consequence. Other results of [6], [13], related to the Brauer theorem, are also generalized.

As before, let us use the notations (A).

DEFINITION 1.2. Let H be a normal divisor of the group G. The group of inner automorphisms of the group G induces a group  $\Phi$  of S-mappings of H. Let us agree to call  $\Phi$ -conjugate elements of H,  $\Phi$ -conjugate characters of H and  $\Phi$ -conjugate minimum idempotents of the center  $R(H, \hat{K})$  G-conjugates.

Let us call  $\Phi$ -divisions of the group H , characters of H and minimum idempotents of the center R(H,K) G -divisions.

Evidently, G -divisions of the group H are the class of conjugate elements of the group G contained in H.

LEMMA 2.2. Let us assume that H is a subgroup of index m of the group G. If the idempotent  $e \in R(H,K^*)$  generates a left ideal I of dimensionality r over  $K^*$  in  $R(H,K^*)$ , then the dimensionality of the left ideal  $\tilde{I} = R(G,K^*) \cdot e$  over  $K^*$  equals mr.

PROOF. Let  $a_1e$ , ...,  $a_re$  ( $a_i$  H; i=1,...,r) be a basis of I over K';  $b_1,...,b_m$  a system of representations of left neighboring classes of the group G on H. Then it is easy to verify that the elements  $b_ja_ie$  (i=1,...,r; j=1,...,m) form a basis  $\widetilde{I}$  over K'. THEOREM 3.2. Let H be a normal divisor of index m of the

group G; e the idempotent of the center R(H,K') generating the minimum two-sided ideal I, isomorphic to the complete matrix ring of order r over the field D, in R(H,K').

If the number of minimum idempotents of the center  $R(H,K^*)$ , which are G-conjugate to e, equals m, then the sum of these idempotents  $\tilde{e} = e_1 + \ldots + e_m$   $(e_1 = e)$  is a minimum idempotent of the center  $R(G,K^*)$  and the ideal  $\tilde{I} = R(G,K^*)\tilde{e}$  is isomorphic to the complete matrix ring of order mr over the same field D.

PROOF. Let  $b_1, \ldots, b_m$   $(b_1 = 1)$  be a system of representations of neighboring classes of the group G on H;  $I_i = R(H,K^i) \cdot e_i$   $(i = 1, \ldots, m)$ . Changing, perhaps, the numbering of the elements  $b_i$ , it can be considered, by virtue of the conditions of the theorem, that

(10.2) 
$$b_{i}^{-1}I_{1}b_{i} = I_{i} \quad (i = 1,...,m)$$

The ideals  $I_1, \dots, I_m$  are orthogonal in pairs:

(11.2) 
$$I_{i}I_{j} = 0$$
, if  $i \neq j$  (see (1.1))

Let  $u \in I_n$  be a minimum idempotent of R(H,K')

$$U = R(H,K^{\dagger})u$$
;  $\widetilde{U} = R(G,K^{\dagger})u$ 

If  $a_1u,...,a_qu$   $(a_i \in H ; i = 1,...,a ; a_1 = 1)$  is the basis of the minimum ideal U over K', then the elements  $b_ja_ju$  (j = 1,...,m; i = 1,...,q) form a basis of the ideal  $\widetilde{U}$  over K' (see LEMMA 2.2). Each element  $x \in \widetilde{U}$  is represented uniquely as:

(12.2) 
$$x = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} b_j a_i u \quad (\lambda_{ij} \in K'; i = 1,...,q; j = 1,...,m)$$

Let  $\overset{\sim}{D}$  be a ring of operator endomorphisms of an additive group of the ideal  $\overset{\sim}{U}$  (the elements of R(G,K') are considered as left ideals).

The arbitrary endomorphism  $\widetilde{\Theta} \in \widetilde{D}$  is given by the formula

(13.2) 
$$\tilde{\theta}_{c}(x) = xc = xuc$$
  $(x, c \in \tilde{U})$ 

(u is the right unit ideal  $\widetilde{U}$ ) and formula (13.2) yields the operator endomorphism  $\widetilde{\Theta}_c \in \widetilde{D}$  for any element  $c \in \widetilde{U}$ . The endomorphisms  $\widetilde{\Theta}, \widetilde{\eta} \in D$  are equal if and only if  $\widetilde{\Theta}(u) = \widetilde{\eta}(u)$ .

If the endomorphisms  $\tilde{\theta}_{ku}$  ( $k \in K'$ ) are identified with the corresponding elements k of the field k', then it can be stated, by virtue of (12.2) and (13.2), that each endomorphism  $\tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{D}$  is represented as:

(13'.2)  $\widetilde{\Theta} = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} \widetilde{\Theta}_{ij} \quad (\lambda_{ij} \in K^i ; i = 1, ..., q ; j = 1, ..., m)$ 

where

(14.2)  $\tilde{\theta}_{ij}(x) = xb_ja_iu = xub_ja_iu \quad (x \in \tilde{U}; i = 1, ..., q; j = 1, ..., m)$  We have  $\tilde{\theta}_{ij}(x) = xub_ja_iu = xb_j(b_j^{-1}ub_j)a_iu = 0$  for j > 1 because  $b_j^{-1}ub_j \in I_j$  by virtue of (10.2) and  $(b_j^{-1}ub_j)(a_ju) = 0$   $(a_ju \in I_j)$  as a consequence of (11.2).

Therefore, by virtue of (13.2) and (13'.2), we obtain for an arbitrary endomorphism  $\Theta \in \widetilde{D}$ :

(15.2)  $\widetilde{\Theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}(\lambda_{1}a_{1} + \dots + \lambda_{q}a_{q})\mathbf{u} \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in \widetilde{U}; \lambda_{i} \in K'; i = 1, \dots, q)$ 

Since u is a minimum idempotent of R(H,K'), then the ring D' of operator endomorphisms of the ideal U is a field. D' is inversely isomorphic to the field D because  $u \in L$ .

The operator endomorphism  $\theta \in D^{!}$  is expressed by the formula (15'.2)  $\theta(x) = x(\lambda_1 a_1 + ... + \lambda_q a_q)u$   $(x \in U; \lambda_i \in K^{!}; i = 1,...,q)$ The correspondence  $\widetilde{\theta} \rightarrow \theta$  ( $\widetilde{\theta}$  is given by (15.2) and  $\theta$  by (15'.2)) is an isomorphism of the mapping of D on  $D^{!}$ .

Actually,  $U \subseteq \widetilde{U}$  and  $\widehat{\theta}$  is the continuation of the mapping  $\theta$  from U onto  $\widetilde{U}$ , where  $\widetilde{\theta}$  is defined uniquely by the endomorphism  $\theta$  since  $\widetilde{\theta}(u) = \theta(u)$ . This means the ring  $\widetilde{D}$  is a field from which there results that u is a minimum idempotent of R(G,K'). Since  $\widetilde{D} \cong D'$  and D' is inversely isomorphic to D then  $\widetilde{D}$  is inversely isomorphic to D.

Let the dimensionality of the field D over K' equal s. Since  $I_1$  is the complete matrix ring of order r over D, then the dimensionality of  $I_1$  over K' is  $r^2s$ , the dimensionality of the ideal R(H,K').  $\tilde{e}$  is  $mr^2s$  by virtue of (10.2) and the dimensionality of the ideal  $\tilde{I}$  over K' is  $m^2r^2s$  as a consequence of LEMMA 2.2.

U is a minimum left ideal of a two-sided minimum ideal  $I_1 \subset R(H,K')$ . This means that the dimensionality of U over K' is  $\frac{r^2s}{r} = rs$  and the dimensionality of  $\widetilde{U}$  over K' is rsm on the basis of LEMMA 2.2.

Let  $I' \subseteq \widetilde{I}$  be a minimum two-sided ideal of R(G,K') containing a minimum left ideal  $\widetilde{U}$ . Then I' is the complete matrix ring of order to each of the ideal  $\widetilde{U}$ , i.e., over the field  $\widetilde{D}$  of operator endomorphisms of the ideal  $\widetilde{U}$ , i.e., over the field D. Therefore, the dimensionality of I' over K' is  $\operatorname{st}^2$  and the dimensionality of  $\widetilde{U}$  over K' is  $\operatorname{\frac{st^2}{t}} = \operatorname{st}$ . Hence,  $\operatorname{rsm} = \operatorname{st}$  from which  $\operatorname{t} = \operatorname{rm}$ .

Thus the dimensionality of I' over K' is  $s(rm)^2$  and since the dimensionality of  $\widetilde{I}$  over K' is  $sr^2m^2$  also, then  $I' = \widetilde{I}$ .

We have shown that the ideal  $\, \, \mathbf{I} \,$  is a complete matrix ring of order  $\, \, \mathbf{rm} \,$  on a field  $\, \, \mathbf{D} \,$  .

The THEOREM is proved.

Let G be a finite group; n the least common multiple of the orders of the elements of G; K' an arbitrary field of characteristic zero; a a primitive n-th root of unity.

DEFINITION 2.2. We shall call the set  $N_{K^{\dagger}}(a)$  of all elements of  $g \notin G$ , such that  $g^{-1}ag = a^{\mu}$  a  $K^{\dagger}$ -normalizer of the element  $a \notin G$ , where  $\epsilon \to \epsilon^{\mu}$  is an automorphism of the Galois group of the field  $K^{\dagger}(\epsilon)$  over  $K^{\dagger}$ .

Evidently, the K'-normalizer of an arbitrary element of the group G is a subgroup of this group.

DEFINITION 3.2. We call the group G with the following properties a K'-elementary group:

- 1) G is a semi-direct product:  $G = H \cdot F$ , where the normal divisor H = (a) is a cyclic group whose order is not divided by the prime p and F is a p-group.
- 2) The K'-normalizer of the element a in G coincides with G.

  LEMMA 3.2. Let G be the semi-direct product of a cyclic group

  H whose order is mutually prime to p and the p-group F (H is a

  normal divisor of G); H' = (b) is the primary component of the group

  H; N the normalizer of the element b in G. Then N is also a

  normalizer of any element of the subgroup H' different from unity.

PROOF. Let us assume that the order b is  $p_1^{\alpha}$  ( $p_1$  is a prime;  $p \neq p_1$ ).

Let us take an arbitrary element  $a \in F$  satisfying the condition  $a \in N \cap F$ , and an element  $b^s$  H'  $(s \not= O(\text{mod } p_1^\alpha))$ . The LEMMA will evidently be proved if it is established that  $a^{-1}b^sa \neq b^s$ .

Let us assume the reverse. Let  $a^{-1}b^Sa=b^S$ . If  $a^{-1}ba=b^\mu$ , then  $a^{-1}b^Sa=b^{\mu S}$  and, therefore,  $\mu s=s \pmod{p_1^\alpha}$ . This means

(16.2) 
$$\mu = 1 \left( \text{mod } \frac{p_1^{\alpha}}{d} \right) \text{, where } d = (p_1^{\alpha}, s)$$
 Evidently  $d > 1$ , since otherwise

$$a^{-1}ba = b , i.e., a \in \mathbb{N}$$
Let  $\frac{p_1}{d} = p_1^j$  (0  $\langle j \langle \alpha \rangle$ ). By virtue of (16.2),  $\mu = 1 + p_1^j k$ , from

which

$$\mu^{\alpha-j}_{1} = (1 + p_{1}^{j}k)^{p_{1}^{\alpha-j}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_{1}^{\alpha}}$$

Therefore  $\mu$  belongs to the exponent  $p_1^t$  (t > 0) modulo  $p_1^{\alpha}$ . If the order a equals  $p^{\nu}$  ( $\nu > 0$ ), then from the equality  $a^{-1}ba = b^{\mu}$  there results the relation  $a^{p\nu}ba^{p\nu} = b^{\mu p\nu} = b$  with the result that

$$\mu^{p^{\nu}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_1^{\alpha}}$$

 $\mu^{p^{\nu}}\equiv 1\ (\text{mod }p_1^{\alpha})$  This means the exponent  $p_1^t$  (t>0) , to which  $\mu$  belongs modulo  $p_1^{\alpha}$  , divides  $p^{\nu}$   $(\nu > 0)$ .

The contradiction obtained shows that  $a^{-1}b^{S}a \neq b^{S}$ .

COROLLARY. Each G -division of the group H' which differs from unity contains (G:N) elements and an arbitrary G -division of the characters of the group H' which does not contain the principal character  $\chi(g) = 1$  consists of (G:N) characters.

PROOF. A statement relative to the G -divisions of H' results directly from LEMMA 3.2.

If  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $a \in \mathbb{F}$ ), then the inequality  $\chi(a^{-1}ga) \neq \chi(g)$  ( $g \in \mathbb{H}'$ ) is satisfied for any character  $\chi(g) \equiv 1$  of the group H!.

Actually, if a certain character  $\chi(g) \neq 1$  were to sustain the automorphism a-1ga, then on the basis of THEOREM 3.1, an element g  $(g \neq 1)$  would be found such that  $a^{-1}ga = g$  and this contradicts the LEMMA 3.2. This means N is a subgroup which retains any character  $\chi(g) \not\equiv 1$  in place, from which it follows that the number of characters G -conjugate to  $\chi(g)$  is (G:N).

LEMMA 4.2. Let  $G = H \cdot F$  be a K'-elementary group (H is a cyclic group and F a p-group); H' =(b) is the primary component of order  $h' = p_1^{\alpha}$  of the group H; N the normalizer of the element b in G. The subgroup N can be represented as the direct product: N = H'xQ, where  $Q = G' \cdot N'$ ,  $H = H' \times G'$ ,  $N' = N \cap F$ .

Let  $\chi_{o}(g), \dots, \chi_{m-1}(g)$  are constant  $K^{t}$  -characters of the group N in adjacent classes with respect to Q, which are obtained by a natural continuation of the irreducible K'-characters  $\chi_0(a), \chi_1(a), \ldots, \chi_{m-1}(a)$ of the group H'  $(\chi_{o}(a)$  is the principal character).

Then the function

(16'.2) 
$$\chi_{\mathbf{i}}'(g) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}}(g) & \text{if } g \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{if } g \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$
 (i = 1,...,m-1)

are irreducible K' -characters of the group G .

PROOF. The subgroups N and Q are normal divisors of the group G. Let  $\hat{\chi}_1(a)$  (the principal character),  $\hat{\chi}_2(a),\ldots,\hat{\chi}_h$ , (a) be absolutely irreducible characters of the group H'; h the order of G; d = (G:N).

By virtue of the corollary to LEMMA 3.2, the set  $\hat{X} = \{\hat{\chi}_2, \dots, \hat{\chi}_h\}$  is decomposed into G -divisions each of which contains exactly d characters:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{q} ; \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i} \cap \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{j} = \mathbf{\Lambda} \quad \text{if } i \neq j$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i} = \{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i1}, \ldots, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{id}\} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, q)$$

The set X is also decomposed into K' -divisions of characters:

$$X = T_1 \dots T_s$$

According to the definition of a K'-elementary group, the group  $\Phi$  of S-mappings  $a \to a^{\vee}$  of the group H' corresponding to the Galois group of the field K'( $\epsilon$ ) over K' (see DEFINITION 8.1) contains the group  $\Phi$ ' of automorphisms  $\Phi$  ( $\Phi$ (a) =  $\pi$ -lax;  $\pi$   $\pi$   $\pi$  of the group H' as a subgroup. Here  $\Phi$ ' is a normal divisor of  $\Phi$  since  $\Phi$  is an Abelian group.

Using LEMMA 6.1, we obtain that each K' division of characters  $T_i$  of the group H' consists of several G-divisions  $\hat{X}_i$ :

(17.2) 
$$T_{i} = \left\{\hat{X}_{i1}, \dots, \hat{X}_{ir_{i}}\right\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, s; \sum_{i=1}^{s} r_{i} = q)$$

The following sum corresponds to each G -division of the characters  $\hat{X}_i$ :

(18.2)  $\chi''(a) = \hat{\chi}_{i1}(a) + ... + \hat{\chi}_{id}(a) \quad (a \in H'; i = 1 ..., q)$ 

In conformance with (17.2), (18.2) and (21.1) the following formulas hold for the characters  $\chi_1(a), \ldots, \chi_{m-1}(a)$  of irreducible representations of the group H' over K':

(19.2) 
$$\chi_{i}(a) = \chi_{ii}(a) + ... + \chi_{ir_{i}}(a)$$
 (i=1,...,s; s = m - 1)

(see the formulation of LEMMA 4.2).

The minimum idempotent  $e_i$  (i = 1,...,s) of the algebra  $R(H^i,K^i)$  corresponds to the  $K^i$  -character  $\chi_i$  of the group  $H^i$ :

(19'.2) 
$$e_{i} = \frac{1}{h!} \sum_{a \in H!} \chi_{i}(a^{-1})a \quad (i = 1,...,s)$$

The idempotent  $e_i$  generates a minimum ideal  $V_i$  in  $R(H^i,K^i)$ , which is a field, the extension of the field  $K^i$ . Evidently,  $e_i$  ( $i=1,\ldots,s$ ) is an idempotent of the center  $R(G,K^i)$ . It is easy to show that the elements

$$\hat{e}_{i} = \frac{d}{h} \sum_{a \in H'} \hat{\chi}_{i}(a^{-1}) a \sum_{c \in Q} c \left(\frac{h}{d} \text{ is the order of } N;\right)$$

are minimum idempotents of the center of the group algebra R(N,K) ( $\hat{K}$  is the algebraic closure of the field  $K^{\dagger}$ ).

In view of (16''.2), the set  $E = e_2, \dots, e_h$ , is separated into G-divisions:

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}} = \hat{\mathbf{E}}_1 \vee \dots \vee \hat{\mathbf{E}}_q \; ; \quad \hat{\mathbf{E}}_i = \{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{i1}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{id} \} \qquad (i = 1, \dots, q)$$
 (the idempotent  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{ij}$  corresponds to the character  $\hat{\mathbf{\chi}}_{ij}$ ).

Since N is a normal divisor of index d in G, then on the basis of THEOREM 3.2, we conclude that the idempotents

(20.2) 
$$e_{\mathbf{i}}^{!} = \hat{e}_{\mathbf{i}1} + \dots + \hat{e}_{\mathbf{i}d} = \frac{d}{h} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in H^{!}} (\hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}1}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) + \dots + \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{i}d}(\mathbf{a}^{-1})) \mathbf{a} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in Q} \mathbf{c}$$
$$= \frac{d}{h} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in H^{!}} \chi_{\mathbf{i}}^{!}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in Q} \mathbf{c} \quad (\mathbf{i} = 1, \dots, q)$$

(see (18.2)) are minimum idempotents of the center  $R(G,\widehat{K})$ , to which correspond the absolutely irreducible representations of the group G of the same degree d.

Because of (17.2), (18.2) and (19.2), the set E'' of minimum idempotents of the center  $R(G,\hat{K})$ :  $E'' = \{e_1'',\ldots,e_q''\}$ , decomposes into the K'-divisions:  $E'' = E_1'' \cup \ldots \cup E_s''$ ,  $E_1'' = \{e_1'',\ldots,e_{ir}'\}$  (i = 1,...,s;

s = m-1). Combining idempotents from one  $K^{\dagger}$  -division  $E_{1}^{\dagger \dagger}$ , we obtain the minimum idempotents of the center  $R(G,K^{\dagger})$  according to (20.1):

(21.2) 
$$e_{\mathbf{i}}^{!} = e_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{l}}^{!} + \dots + e_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}}^{!} = \frac{d}{h} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{H}^{!}} (\chi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{l}}^{!}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) + \dots + \chi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}}^{!}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}))\mathbf{a} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{Q}$$

$$= \frac{d}{h} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{H}^{!}} \chi_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{a}^{-1})\mathbf{a} \quad \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{c} = \frac{d}{h} \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{N}} \chi_{\mathbf{i}}^{!}(\mathbf{g}^{-1})\mathbf{g} = \frac{d}{h} \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}^{!}} \chi_{\mathbf{i}}^{!}(\mathbf{g}^{-1})\mathbf{g}$$

$$(\mathbf{i} = 1, \dots, \mathbf{s}; \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{m} - 1)$$

Let  $\Gamma_i$ ' be an irreducible representation of the group G over  $K^i$  corresponding to the idempotent  $e_i^*$  ( $i=1,\ldots,s$ );  $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_i^*$  is a character of the representation  $\Gamma_i^*$ .

Comparing formula (21.2) with (20.1) and (21.1), we conclude that (21.2)  $\widetilde{\chi}_i'(g) = m_i \chi_i'(g) \qquad (i = 1, ..., s)$ 

where m, is the Schur index corresponding to the character  $\widetilde{\chi}^i_i(g)$  . Let us be certain that  $m_i = 1$  (i = 1,...,s). Let  $G_1 = G' \cdot F$ . Let us put

(22.2)  $\tilde{e} = \frac{h^{\dagger}}{h} \sum_{c \in G_1} c$ ;  $u_i = e_i \tilde{e}$  (i = 1,...,m-l) ( $\frac{h}{h^{\dagger}}$  is the order of  $G_1$ )

Evidently  $\tilde{e}$  is an idempotent of the algebra  $R(G,K^{\dagger})$  . Because of the equality  $e_i = e_i$ ,  $u_i$  is an idempotent of  $R(G,K^i)$ . Since  $e_i e_i^i = e_i$ , then u,e; = u, and, therefore

 $u_{i} \in I_{i}^{!} = R(G,K)e_{i}^{!} \qquad \qquad i=1,\ldots,m-1 )$  The dimensionality of the ideal  $I_{i}^{!}$  over the field  $K^{!}$  equals  $r_{i}d^{2}$ (see LEMMA 4 of [18]). Because r, is the dimensionality of the center of the simple algebra  $I_i^*$  over the field  $K^*$ , the dimensionality of the minimum left ideal of the algebra  $R(G,K^{\dagger})$  contained in  $I_{i}^{\dagger}$  is  $r_{i}dm_{i}$ and this means that the dimensionality of an arbitrary left ideal  $I \subseteq I$ ; is not less than the number  $r_{i}dm_{i}$ . On the basis of the same LEMMA, the dimensionality of the left ideal  $R(G,K)u_i$  over K' equals  $r_id$ . Hence,  $r_i d \ge r_i dm_i$ , from which  $m_i = 1$ . Because of (21'.2), the LEMMA is proved.

COROLLARY. If G = H·F is a K' -elementary group (H is a cyclic group, F a p -group), then each irreducible K' -character of the group H is induced by a certain irreducible K'-character of the group G.

PROOF. Let us consider the decomposition of the group H into the direct product of primary cyclic components

$$H = H_1 \times ... \times H_g$$

Each irreducible K' -character X of the group H induces an irreducible  $K^{i}$  -character  $\chi^{(j)}$  of this group (j = 1, ..., s) on  $H_{i}$ . If  $\chi$  is the principal character of H , then  $\chi$  is evidently induced by the principal character of the group G .

Let the characters  $\chi^{(1)}, \ldots, \chi^{(t)}$  satisfy the condition  $\chi^{(j)}(a) \neq 1$   $(a \in H_j; j = 1, \ldots, t; t \leq s)$  and let the characters  $\chi^{(t+1)}, \ldots, \chi^{(s)}$  be the principal characters.

Because of LEMMA 4.2, the character  $\chi^{(j)}$  (j = 1,...,t) is induced by an irreducible K'-character  $\chi^{i(j)}$  of the group G, which is expressed by a formula of the form of (161.2).

Now it is easy to see that the character  $\chi$  is induced on H by a  $K^{\dagger}$  -character  $\tilde{\chi}(g) = {\chi^{\dagger}}^{(1)}(g) \dots {\chi^{\dagger}}^{(t)}(g)$  of the group G. Since  $\chi$  is an irreducible K'-character, then  $\tilde{\chi}(g)$  will also be an irreducible K' -character of G.

The corollary to LEMMA 4.2 is used in [12].

The following notations will be required in the sequel:

an arbitrary finite group

the least common multiple of the orders of the elements G

 $\epsilon$  a primitive n-th root of unity

K' an arbitrary field of characteristic zero

(D)  $\{\chi_1^i, \ldots, \chi_r^i \text{ irreducible } K^i \text{ -characters of the group } G$ 

C a ring of rational integers  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T$ 

Ip a ring of p-adic integers of the field P

If  $R \supseteq C$  is an arbitrary ring, then a set  $X_R$  of all possible linear combinations of irreducible K\*-characters  $\chi_1^{\mathfrak{r}}, \ldots, \chi_r^{\mathfrak{r}}$  with coefficients from R can be formed:

$$(21^{i}.2) \qquad X_{\mathbf{R}} = \left\{\alpha_{\mathbf{I}}\chi_{\mathbf{I}}^{i} + \dots + \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}\chi_{\mathbf{r}}^{i}\right\} \qquad (\alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbf{R} \ ; \ \mathbf{i} = 1, \dots, \mathbf{r})$$

If the operations of addition and multiplication of elements from  $X_{p}$ are defined by considering them as functions prescribed on  ${\tt G}$  , then  ${\tt X}_{\tt p}$ is transformed into a ring. This results from the first relation between the irreducible K' -characters:

$$\chi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathfrak{l}}(g) \cdot \chi_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathfrak{l}}(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \tau_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}^{(k)} \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathfrak{l}}(g) \qquad (\tau_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}^{(k)} \in C)$$

The unit of the ring  $X_R$  is the principle character  $\mathcal{H}_1^r(g)$  = 1 . Since the  $K^{\epsilon}$  -characters  $\chi_{i}^{\epsilon}(g)$  (i = 1,...,r) are functions of the K' -division of G , then the functions  $\xi(g) \in X_p$  are functions of the K' -division of the group:

(22'.2)  $\xi(a) = \xi(b)$  if a and b belong to one  $K^{\dagger}$  -division of G. Let us introduce the notations:

$$(22^{**}.2)$$
  $X_{C} = X_{3}$   $X_{I_{22}} = X_{P}$ 

.2)  $X_C = X$ ;  $X_{1p} = X_p$ Inasmuch as each character  $\chi_1^*(g)$  is an integer linear combination absolutely irreducible characters, the ring  $x_p$  can be considered as a subring of the ring  $\hat{X}_{p}$ :  $\hat{X}_{p} = \{\alpha_{1}\hat{X}_{1} + ... + \alpha_{s}\hat{X}_{s}\}$ , where  $\alpha_{i} \in I_{p}$ (i=1,...,s);  $\mathcal{I}_1,...,\mathcal{I}_s$  are characters of representations of G which are irreducible over  $\widehat{K}$   $(\widehat{K}$  is the algebraic closure of  $K^{\circ}$ ).

The ring  $X_p$  has been investigated in detail by Roquette in [7].

Because  $\chi_{i}(g)$  is the sum of n-th roots of unity (i = 1,...,s),  $\xi(g) \in I_{p}$  for any function  $\xi \in X_{p}$  and an arbitrary element  $g \in G$ .

Consequently,  $\hat{X}_p$  is a subring of the ring A of all functions f(g)  $(g \in G)$  such that  $f(g) \in I_p$ . Topology can be introduced in the ring A according to the following law:  $f \to f$  if  $f_{\hat{1}}(g) \to f(g)$  in the ring  $I_p$  for all  $g \in G$ . There holds [7]

THEOREM 4.2. Any Ip-submodulus of the ring  $\hat{X}_p$  is closed in A. The ring  $\hat{X}_p$  is decomposed into the direct sum of undecomposable ideals:

 $(23.2) X_p = B_1 + ... + B_0$ 

The decomposition of the unit of the ring  $X_{po}$  into a sum of pairwise orthogonal idempotents

(24.2) 
$$1 = \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_q ; \quad \gamma_i \eta_j = 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq j$$
 corresponds to the decomposition (23.2).

Each of the idempotent functions  $_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{g})$  (i = 1,...,a) takes on only two values on G: 0 and 1. Therefore, the idempotent  $\gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$  (i = 1,...,q) is uniquely defined by the set  $M_{\mathbf{i}}$  of all elements  $\mathbf{g} \in G$  for which  $\gamma_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{g}) = 1$  (i = 1,...,q).

The ideal  $B_i = X_p \gamma_i$  consists of all functions  $\xi(g) \in X_p$  which satisfy the condition:

(25.2) 
$$\xi(g) = 0 \text{ if } g = 1,...,q)$$

Because of (24.2)

(26.2) 
$$M_1 U ... U M_q = G$$
;  $M_1 \cap M_j = \Lambda$  for  $i \neq j$  DEFINITION 4.2. We shall designate the sets  $M_1, ..., M_q$  the **P**-divisions of the group  $G$ .

Since the character  $\chi_1^r(g)$  (i = 1,...,r) is a function of the  $K^r$  -division of the group G [see THEOREM 2.1 and (21.1)], then any p-division  $M_i$  (i = 1,...,q) consists of several  $K^r$  -divisions of G.

THEOREM 5.2. Every undecomposable ideal  $B_{\underline{i}}$  contains a single ideal  $V_{\underline{i}}$  (i = 1,...,q). This ideal consists of all functions  $\xi \in B_{\underline{i}}$  such that (g)  $\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for any g  $\in G$ .

PROOF. The THEOREM is proved exactly as is the corresponding proposition of [7] (when  $B_{_{\vec{1}}}$  is an undecomposable ideal in  $\hat{X}_{\bf P}$ ).

Let  $\xi \in \textbf{B}_{\texttt{i}}$  . On the basis of the Euler theorem for ideals, for any natural m

$$(\xi(g))^{\varphi(p^m)} = \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p^m} & \text{if } \xi(g) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ 0 \pmod{p^m} & \text{if } \xi(g) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \end{cases}$$

Therefore

(27.2) 
$$\lim_{m\to\infty} (\xi(g))^{\varphi(p^m)} = \gamma^{i}(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \xi(g) \neq 0 \pmod{p} \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi(g) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \end{cases}$$

There results from THEOREM 4.2 and (27.2) that  $\eta'(g) \in B_i$ .

Now let us assume that  $\xi \bar{\epsilon} V_i$  . Then there exists at least one element  $g \in G$  for which  $\xi(g) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and this means that the function  $\eta'(g)$  is a non-zero idempotent in the ring  $B_i$  (i = 1,...,q) because of (27.2). Since B, is an undecomposable ideal, then evidently  $\eta^i = \eta_i$ . Thus

(28.2) 
$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \xi^{\varphi(\mathbf{p}^m)}(g) = \eta_i(g) \qquad (\xi \in V_i ; i = 1,...,q)$$

It follows from (28.2) that there exists an inverse element  $\xi' = \lim \xi^{\varphi(p^m)-1}$  for any function  $\xi \bar{\epsilon} V_i (\xi \in B_i)$ . This means that  $V_i$  is an unique maximum ideal in the ring  $B_i$  (i = 1,...,q).

COROLLARY. The elements a,  $b \in G$  belong to one p -division of M. if and only if for all  $\xi \in X_{p}$ 

$$\xi(a) \equiv \xi(b) \pmod{p}$$

PROOF. If  $a \in M_i$ ,  $b \in M_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ), then because of (25.2),  $\eta_i(a) = 1$ ,  $\eta_i(b) = 0$  and, therefore

$$\eta_i(a) \not\equiv \gamma_i(b) \pmod{p}$$

Let us establish the necessity of the condition of the THEOREM. a,  $b \in M_1$ ,  $\xi \in X_p$ . Because of (23.2) the function is represented uniquely in the form

(29.2) 
$$\xi = \xi_1 + ... + \xi_q \quad (\xi_i \in B_i ; i = 1,...,q)$$

2)  $\xi = \xi_1 + ... + \xi_q$   $(\xi_i \in B_i; i = 1,...,q)$ As a consequence of (25.2),  $\xi_j(a) = \xi_j(b) = 0$  if  $j \neq i$ .  $\xi(a) = \xi_{i}(a)$ ;  $\xi(b) = \xi_{i}(b)$ (30.2)

The set of functions  $\xi \in B$ , satisfying the condition  $\xi(a) = 0$ evidently forms the ideal  $I \subset B_i$ . Since  $V_i$  is a unique maximum ideal in  $B_i$  by virtue of THEOREM 5.2, then  $I \equiv 0 \pmod{V_i}$ . Therefore

$$\xi_i - \xi_i(a) \gamma_i \in V_i$$

Hence, we conclude that

(31.2) 
$$\xi_{i}(g) - \xi_{i}(a) \eta_{i}(g) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for any element  $g \in G$ .

Putting g = b in (31.2), we obtain:

$$\xi_i(b) - \xi_i(a) \, \gamma_i(b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

from which, in view of (30.2),  $\xi(a) \equiv \xi(b) \pmod{p}$  ( $\eta_i(b) = 1$ ).

The THEOREM is proved.

The element  $g \in G$  is called p-regular if the order of g is mutually prime to p.

Each element  $g \in G$  is represented uniquely in the form of a product of permutable factors:  $g = ag^{\dagger}$ , where a is a p-regular element and the order of  $g^{\dagger}$  is a power of p. The element a is called a p-regular factor of g. If g is a p-regular element, then it coincides with its p-regular factor.

Let us introduce the following relation between elements of the group G:

 $g \sim g_1$  if p-regular factors of the elements g and  $g_1$  are K'-conjugates (see DEFINITION 8.1).

Evidently this relation is reflexive, symmetric and transitive and, therefore, the set G decomposes into nonintersecting subsets of mutually equivalent elements:

of G . Actually, if the elements of the group G are  $K^{\dagger}$  -conjugate, then

It is easy to see that any subset  $N_i$  (i = 1,...,k) contains one and only one  $K^i$  -division of the group G consisting of p -regular elements.

This means that the number of subsets N  $_{\tt i}$  equals the number of such K'-divisions of the group G , into which only p-regular elements enter.

If a is a p-regular factor of the element  $g \in G$  and  $\xi \in X_p$ , then the following formula holds:

$$\xi(g) \equiv \xi(a) \pmod{p}$$

their p -regular factors are also K' -conjugate.

Actually, let g = ag' (ag' = g'a; the order of g' equals  $p^t$ ). Since  $\xi = \alpha_1 \chi_1' + \ldots + \alpha_r \chi_r'$  ( $\alpha_i \in I_p$ ) (see the notations (D)), then it is sufficient to prove formula (33.2) for each character  $\chi_i'$  ( $i = 1, \ldots, r$ ).

The representation  $\Gamma_{\!\!1}^{\,\circ}$ , to which the character  $\chi_{\!\!1}^{\,\circ}$  (i = 1,...,r) corresponds, induces the representation of a cyclic subgroup (g) which decomposes into the sum of one-dimensional absolutely irreducible representations. We will have for the character  $\chi$  of a one-dimensional representation of the group (g):

$$\chi(ag') = \chi(a)\chi(g') \equiv \chi(a) \pmod{p}$$

because the congruence  $\epsilon$ ?  $\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  is satisfied for any root  $\epsilon$ ! of unity of degree  $p^t$ .

LEMMA 5.2. Each subset  $N_i$  is contained in one and only one p-division of  $M_i$  [see (26.2) and (32.2)].

PROOF. Let g,  $g_1 \in N_1$  (1  $\leq$  i  $\leq$  k). Then the p-regular factors a and  $a_1$  of the elements g and  $g_1$ , respectively, are  $K^*$ -conjugate and, because of (21°.2),  $\xi(a) = \xi(a_1)$  for any function  $\xi \in X_p$ . By virtue of (33.2),  $\xi(g) \equiv \xi(a)$  (mod p) and  $\xi(g_1) \equiv \xi(a_1)$  (mod p). Therefore

$$\xi(g) = \xi(g_{\eta}) \pmod{p}$$

Applying the COROLLARY to THEOREM 5.2, we obtain that the elements g and  $g_1$  belong to one p-division  $M_j$   $(1 \le j \le q)$ .

LEMMA 6.2. If H = (a) is a cyclic group of order h and  $h \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ , then the p-divisions of the group H coincide with its  $K^{\dagger}$ -divisions.

PROOF. The group  $X^{\,\mathfrak{p}}$  of absolutely irreducible characters of H is isomorphic to H .

Let us assume that  $\chi$  is the generating element of the group  $X^*$ :  $X^* = (\chi)$ . Let  $T_1 = \left\{ a^{S}11, \ldots, a^{S}1r_1 \right\}, \ldots, T_m = \left\{ a^{S}m1, \ldots, a^{S}mr_m \right\}$  all be  $K^*$ -divisions of H;  $t_1 = a + \ldots + a^{-1}$  is the sum of elements of a  $K^*$ -division of  $T_1$  ( $i = 1, \ldots, m$ ) in  $R(H, K^*)$ . Then the functions  $\chi_1(g) = \chi^{-1}(g) + \ldots + \chi^{-1}(g)$  ( $g \in H$ ;  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ ) will be  $K^*$ -characters of H corresponding to irreducible representations of H over the field  $K^*$ .

Let the minimum idempotents  $e_1, ..., e_m$  of the group algebra R(H,K') be expressed by the formulas:

(34.2) 
$$e_{i} = \frac{1}{h}(\lambda_{i1}t_{1} + ... + \lambda_{im}t_{m}) \quad (i = 1,...,m)$$

[see (11.1) and (20.1)]. Evidently,  $\frac{\lambda_{ij}}{h} \in I_p$  (i,j = 1,...,m).

Replacing the elements  $t_j$  by the characters  $\gamma_j$   $(j=1,\ldots,m)$  in (34.2), we obtain m pairwise orthogonal idempotents  $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_m$  in the ring  $X_p(H)$ :

$$\eta_{i} = \frac{1}{h}(\lambda_{i1}\chi_{1} + \ldots + \lambda_{1m}\chi_{m}) \qquad (i = 1, \ldots, m)$$

Since each p -division of H consists of several K'-divisions of H and the number of idempotents  $\eta_i$  equals the number of K'-divisions of H, then the p-divisions of H coincide with the K'-divisions of this group.

The LEMMA is proved.

COROLLARY. If H is a cyclic group of order h  $\neq 0 \pmod{p}$  and the elements a, b  $\in$  H, then  $\chi(a) \equiv \chi(b) \pmod{p}$  for any K'-character  $\chi$  of the group H, when a and b belong to one K'-division of H and there exists an irreducible K'-character  $\chi$  for which  $\chi(a) \neq \chi(b) \pmod{p}$  if a and b are in different K'-divisions of H.

Actually, if the congruence  $\chi(a) \equiv \chi(b) \pmod{p}$  is satisfied for all irreducible K'-characters  $\chi$  of the group H, then the congruence  $\xi(a) \equiv \xi(b) \pmod{p}$  also holds for any function  $\xi \in \chi_p$ . Taking into account the CORCLLARY to THEOREM 5.2) and LEMMA 6.2, we arrive at the conclusion that a and b are contained in one K'-division of H.

PROOF. The p-regular factors of elements of the set TN' are evidently K'-conjugate to a . On the basis of LEMMA 5.2, it follows from this fact that TN'  $\subseteq$  M, where M is a certain  $\nearrow$  -division of the group G. This means

(35.2) 
$$\xi(a) = \xi(g) \pmod{p} \quad \text{if} \quad g \in TN'$$

Let the decomposition of H into a direct product of primary cyclic components have the form

$$H = H_1 \times ... \times H_k$$

By virtue of (36.2), we obtain the decomposition of the element a:

(37.2) 
$$a = a_1 \dots a_k \quad (H_i = (a_i); i = 1, \dots, k)$$

 $a = a_1 \dots a_k \qquad (H_i = (a_i); i = 1, \dots, k)$ Let  $N_i$  be the normalizer of the element  $a_i$  in G;  $N_i^! = F \cap N_i$ ;  $Q_{\mathbf{i}} = (H_1 \times \dots \times H_{\mathbf{i}-1} \times H_{\mathbf{i}+1} \dots \times H_{\mathbf{k}}) \cdot N_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}} \quad (\mathbf{i} = 1, \dots, \mathbf{k})$ 

Evidently,  $N_i = H_i \times Q_i$  (i = 1,...,k). It is easy to see that

(39.2) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{q_{j}} \chi_{j}^{(i)}(a_{j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

since  $\chi_1^{(i)}(a_i) = 1$ . On the other hand

(40.2) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{q_{i}} \chi_{j}^{(i)}(a_{i}) = 0$$

irreducible characters of the group  $H_i$  (i = 1,...,k) (see ( $\widetilde{IV}$ ), § 1).

There results from (39.2) and (40.2) the contradictory congruence:  $1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

Let us select the character  $\chi_{j_i}^{(i)}$  (1 $< j_i < q_i$ ) of the group  $H_i$ (i = 1,...,k) satisfying the condition:  $\chi_{j_4}^{(i)}(a_i) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . According to LEMMA 4.2, a K'-character  $\chi_{j,i}^{(i)}$  of the group H<sub>i</sub> is induced by the  $K^{\dagger}$  -character  $\chi_{j}^{(i)}$  of the group G:

$$(41.2) \qquad \chi_{\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{i}}}^{(\mathbf{i})}(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{i}} \\ \chi_{\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{i}}}^{(\mathbf{i})}(b_{\mathbf{i}}) & \text{if } g \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{i}} \text{ and } g = b_{\mathbf{i}}c \ (b_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{i}}; c \in \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{i}}) \\ (i = 1, ..., k) \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the  $K^{\circ}$  -character  $\chi^{\circ}(g)$ :

(42.2) 
$$\chi_{\hat{j}_{1}}^{i}(g) = \chi_{\hat{j}_{1}}^{i}(g) ... \chi_{\hat{j}_{k}}^{i}(g)$$

As a consequence of (37.2), (41.2) and (42.2)

$$\chi^{(a)} = \chi^{(1)}_{j_1}(a_1) \dots \chi^{(k)}_{j_k}(a_k)$$

Because p is a prime ideal and  $\chi_{j_i}^{(i)}(a_i) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  (i = 1,...,k), we obtain that

$$\chi^{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathbf{a}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$$

From (42.2), (41.2) and (38.2) there follows the equality:

$$\chi^{\mathfrak{l}}(g) = 0 \quad \text{if } g \in \mathbb{N}$$

Comparing (42'.2) and (43.2), we arrive at the relation:

$$\chi^{1}(g) \neq \chi^{2}(a) \pmod{p} \quad \text{if } g \in \mathbb{N}$$

Now, let us take an element g  $\xi$  G satisfying the conditions: g  $\xi$  N; g  $\bar{\xi}$  TN . Then g is represented in the form:

(45.2) 
$$g = a^{t}n^{s}$$
 where  $a^{t} \in T$ ,  $n^{s} \in N^{s}$ 

Because of the COROLLARY to LEMMA 6.2, there results from (45.2) that there exists an irreducible  $K^{r}$  -character  $\chi$  of the group H such that (46.2)  $\chi(a) \neq \chi(a^{t}) \pmod{p}$ 

On the basis of the COROLLARY to LEMMA 4.2, the character  $\chi$  is induced by a certain irreducible  $K^{i}$  -character  $\tilde{\chi}^{i}$  of the group G . Hence

(47.2) 
$$\widetilde{\chi}^{i}(g) = \widetilde{\chi}^{i}(a^{t}n^{i}) \equiv \widetilde{\chi}^{i}(a^{t}) \pmod{p}$$

Since 
$$\widetilde{\chi}^i(a^t) = \chi(a^t)$$
, then by virtue of (46.2) and (47.2)  
(48.2)  $\widetilde{\chi}^i(g) \not\equiv \widetilde{\chi}^i(a) \pmod{p}$  if  $g \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $g \in \mathbb{T}\mathbb{N}^i$ 

Because of the COROLLARY to THEOREM 5.2, the relations (35.2), (44.2) and (48.2) prove that TN! is a  $\gamma$ -division of the group G.

LEMMA 8.2. Let G be an arbitrary finite group; H a subgroup of order m of the group G; X(G) and X(H) integer rings of K'-characters of the groups G and H, respectively (see  $(22^{11}.2)$ );  $\widetilde{R}$  a ring of all functions f(g) in the group G which satisfy the conditions:

- 1) f(g) takes on values in the field  $K^{r,q} \ge K^r$  and is a function of a  $K^r$ -division of the group G .
  - 2) f(g) induces the function  $f_H(g) \in X(H)$  in the subgroup H .

If I is an ideal in the ring X(H), then the set  $I^*$  of functions

(49.2) 
$$\xi^*(g) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{c \in G} \xi(c^{-1}gc) \quad (\xi(c^{-1}gc) = 0 \text{ if } c^{-1}gc \in H)$$

induced by the functions  $\xi(g) \in I$ , forms an ideal in the ring  $\widetilde{R}$  (it is evident that  $X(G) \subseteq \widetilde{R}$ ).

PROOF. Because of (49.2),  $\xi^* - \eta^* = (\xi - \eta)^* \in I^*$  ( $\xi, \eta \in I$ ). Let  $f(g) \in \widetilde{R}$ ,  $\eta(g) \in I$  and  $f_H(g)$  be a function induced by f(g) in H ( $f(g) = f_H(g)$  for all  $g \in H$ ). Then as a consequence of (49.2)

$$f(g)\eta^*(g) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{c \in G} f(g)\eta(c^{-1}gc) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{c \in G} f(c^{-1}gc)\eta(c^{-1}gc) = (f_H(g)\cdot\eta(g))^*$$

where  $f_H(g)\eta(g) \in I$  since  $\eta(g) \in I$ .

If R is a ring which is a group of linear forms over C:  $R = (u_1, \ldots, u_m) \text{ , then we will denote a ring of linear combinations} \\ \{\lambda_1 u_1 + \ldots + \lambda_m u_m\} \text{ , where } \lambda_{\tilde{1}} \in I_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}} \text{ , by means of } R_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}} \text{ and the basis elements } u_{\tilde{1}} \text{ (i = 1,...,m)} \text{ are multiplied exactly as in the ring } R \text{ (see the notations (D)).}$ 

IEMMA 9.2. Let R be a ring with the unit whose elements form a group of linear forms over C with respect to addition; let T be an ideal in R. If  $T_{20} = R_{20}$  for all primes p, then T = R.

PROOF. Let us consider R as a subring of R<sub>p</sub>. The maximum number of elements of the ring R<sub>p</sub> which are linearly independent over I<sub>p</sub> equals the rank of R over C and the maximum number elements in the ring T<sub>p</sub> which are linearly independent over I<sub>p</sub> equals the rank of T over C. Since T = R , then the ranks of the free Abelian groups R and T coincide.

Hence, if  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  is the basis of T over C, then the following relation holds:

(50.2) 
$$7 \cdot 1 = \alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_m u_m \quad (\alpha_i \in C, 7 \in C, i = 1, \dots, m)$$
 We conclude from the equality  $R_p = T_p$  that in  $R_p$ 

(51.2) 
$$1 = \beta_1 u_1 + \dots + \beta_m u_m \quad (\beta_i \in I_p; \quad i = 1, \dots, m)$$
Comparing (50.2) and (51.2), we arrive at the relations:

(52.2) 
$$\frac{\alpha_{\underline{i}}}{\overline{r}} = \beta_{\underline{i}} \in I_{p} \qquad (i = 1, ..., m)$$

Formulas (52.2) are valid for all primes  $p \ (p \not\equiv 0 \pmod p)$ . A rational number which is a -adic integer for any prime p belongs to the ring C.

This means  $1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{7} u_1 + \dots + \frac{\alpha_m}{7} \in T$  and T = R. The LEMMA is proved.

Let G be an arbitrary finite group;  $B_i$  an undecomposable ideal in  $X_{\mathbf{p}}(G)$ ;  $V_i$  a maximum ideal in  $B_i$ ;  $\eta_i$  an idempotent generating the block  $B_i$  in  $X_{\mathbf{p}}(G)$ ;  $M_i$  a  $\mathbf{p}$ -division of the group G, corresponding to  $B_i$ ;  $a_i \in M_i$  a p-regular element;  $D_j \subseteq M_i$  the set of all elements of G whose p-regular factors are  $K^i$ -conjugate to  $a_i$ ;  $N_{K^i}(a_i)$  the  $K^i$ -normalizer of the element  $a_i$  in G;  $F_i$  a Silow p-subgroup of

the group  $N_{K^{\dagger}}(a_{\check{\mathbf{1}}})$  ;  $G^{\dagger}_{\check{\mathbf{1}}} \simeq (a_{\check{\mathbf{1}}})F_{\check{\mathbf{1}}}$  is a  $K^{\dagger}$  -elementary sugroup of the group G;  $N_{i}$  the normalizer of  $a_{i}$  in  $G_{i}^{2}$ ;  $N_{i}^{2} = F_{i} / N_{i}$ ;  $T_{i}$  is a K -division of the cyclic group (a;) containing the element a; (i = 1, ..., q).

By virtue of LEMMA 7.2, the set  $T_i N_i^2$  is a 7 -division of the group G: . Therefore, there exists the idempotent

in the ring  $X_{\mathfrak{p}}(G_{!}^{!})$ .

DEFINITION 5.2. The ideal  $E_i$  generated by the idempotent  $\eta_i^i$  in  $X_{20}(G_{\frac{3}{2}})$  will be called an elementary block corresponding to the ideal  $B_{\frac{3}{4}}$ (i = 1,...,q).

THEOREM 6.2. The ideal  $B_i$  consists of all functions  $\xi \in X_p(G)$ induced by the functions  $\textbf{X} \, \boldsymbol{\xi} \, \boldsymbol{\xi} \, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}$  (E is an elementary block corresponding to  $B_{i}$ ) (i = 1,...,q).

PROOF. Let  $\xi^* \in E_i$ . Then the induced function  $\xi^* \in X_p(G)$ expressed, according to (49.2), by the formula:

(54.2) 
$$\xi^*(g) = \frac{1}{(G_1^* : 1)} \sum_{c \in G} \xi(c^{-1}gc)$$

where  $\xi(c^{-1}gc) = 0$  if  $c^{-1}gc \in G_i$ . By virtue of LEMMA 8.2, the set  $\mathbb{E}_{i}^{*}$  of functions  $\mathbf{\xi}^{*}$  ( $\mathbf{\xi} \in \mathbb{E}_{i}$ ) generates an ideal in  $X_{\mathbf{p}}(G)$ .

The block  $E_i$  consists of all functions  $\xi \in X_p(G^i)$  satisfying the condition:  $\xi(g) = 0$ , if  $g \in T_i N^2$ . This means that  $\xi(g) = 0$ if  $c^{-1}gc \in T_{\underline{i}}^{N_{\underline{i}}}$  for all  $c \in G$ , i.e., if the p-regular factors of the elements  $\bar{g}$  and  $a_{\bar{i}}$  are not  $K^{\bar{i}}$  -conjugate  $(E_{\bar{i}} \in E_{\bar{i}})$  .

Hence

(54°.2) 
$$\xi^*(g) = 0$$
 if  $g \in D_{\xi}$ 

2)  $\xi^*(g) = 0 \qquad \text{if } g \in D_j$  Since  $D_j \subseteq M_i$ , then because of (541.2),  $\xi^*(g) = 0$  when  $g \in M_i$ . From this we conclude that  $E_{1}^{*}\subseteq B_{1}$  because  $B_{1}$  consists of all functions  $\widetilde{\xi} \in X_p(G)$  such that  $\widetilde{\xi}(g) = 0$  if  $g \in M_1$ .

Putting  $g = a_i$  and  $\xi = \eta_i^2$  in (54.2), we obtain:

(55.2) 
$$\eta_{1}^{*}(a_{1}) = \frac{1}{(G_{1}^{*}:1)} \sum_{c \in G} \eta_{1}^{*}(c^{-1}gc) \qquad (\eta_{1}^{*}(c^{-1}gc) = C)$$

when  $c^{-1}a_{i}c^{-1}c_{i}$ ). By virtue of (53.2), formula (55.2) can be written as:

<sup>\*</sup> The block  $E_i$  depends on the selection of the n-regular element  $a_i$  in the p-division  $M_i$  (i = 1,...,q).

(55°.2) 
$$\eta_{1}^{i}(\mathbf{a}_{1}) = \frac{1}{(G_{1}^{i}:1)} \sum_{c \in G} \eta_{1}^{i}(c^{-1}gc)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{i}}^{:}(\mathbf{c}^{-1}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{c}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{c}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{i}}^{:} \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{c}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{i}}^{:} \end{cases}$$

 $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\hat{i}}(\mathbf{c}^{-1}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{c}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{c}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{T}_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}\mathbf{N}_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}^{\hat{i}} \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{c}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{T}_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}\mathbf{N}_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}^{\hat{i}} \end{cases}$  Because  $\mathbf{a}_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}$  is a peregular element,  $\mathbf{c}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{T}_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}\mathbf{N}_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}^{\hat{i}}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{c}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{T}_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}}\mathbf{n}^{\hat{i}}$  $c^{-1}a_ic \in T$ , i.e., when  $c \in N_{K^{i}}(a_i)$ .

On the basis of the last remark, we obtain from (55%.2):

(56.2) 
$$\eta_{1}^{**}(a_{1}) = \frac{(N_{K^{3}}(a_{1}) \cdot 1)}{(G_{1}^{2} \cdot 1)}$$

Since  $G^{!}$  contains the Silcw p -subgroup of the group  $N_{K^{!}}(a_{i})$ , then because of (56.2)

$$\eta_i^{**}(\mathbf{a}_i) \neq 0 \pmod{p}$$

According to THEOREM 5.2, the congruence  $\mathcal{Z}(g) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  (g is an arbitrary element of the group G ) is satisfied for the function  $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(g) \in V_{i}$ .

We now conclude from (57.2) that  $\mathcal{H}_{i}^{**} \in V_{i}$  . This means  $\mathbf{E}_{3}^{*} = \mathbf{B}_{3}$ 

(Because of THEOREM 5.2,  $V_{i}$  is a single maximum ideal in the ring  $B_{i}$  .) The THEOREM is proved.

Taking into account (54".2) and (57.2), we arrive at the relations:

(59.2) 
$$\eta_{i}^{**}(g) = 0$$
 if  $g \in D_{j}$ ;  $\eta_{i}^{**}(a_{i}) \neq 0 \pmod{j}$ 

The equality  $D_{j} = M_{1}$  results from the existence of the function  $\eta_i^{**}(g)$  satisfying the conditions (59.2) and from the inclusion  $D_i \subseteq M_i$ on the basis of the COROLLARY to THEOREM 5.2.

Hence, there holds

THEOREM 7.2. Each p -division of the group G consists of all elements of G having K' -conjugate p -regular factors.

This means the number of undecomposable ideals in the direct decomposition of the ring  $X_{30}$  equals the number of  $K^\circ$  -divisions of G which contain p -regular elements.

Comparing (23.2) and (58.2), we obtain the direct decomposition:  $X_{20} = E_1^* + ... + E_0^*$ 

where  $E_1$ , ...,  $E_q$  is a system of elementary blocks corresponding to the undecomposable direct components  $B_1, \ldots, B_n$  of the ring  $X_n$ .

The decomposition of (60.2) is valid for any prime  $p (p = 0 \pmod{p})$ .

Let X be an integer ring of  $K^*$ -characters of the group G (see (22.2));  $H_1, \ldots, H_s$  all the  $K^*$ -elementary subgroups of the group G;  $X_i$  the integer ring of the  $K^*$ -characters of the group  $H_i$ ;  $X_i^*$  the set of all functions of the ring X induced by functions from  $X_i$  (i = 1,...,s). Then the decomposition (not direct) holds:  $(61.2) \qquad X = X_1^* + \ldots + X_s^*$ 

(61.2)  $X = X_1^* + \dots + X_s^*$  Actually, by virtue of LEMMA 8.2, the sum  $\widetilde{X} = X_1^* + \dots + X_s^*$  is an ideal in X. On the basis of (60.2),  $\widetilde{X}_{\overline{X}} = X_{\overline{X}}$  for all primes p. Applying LEMMA 9.2, we obtain that  $\widetilde{X} = X$ .

The following theorem, generalizing the Brauer theorem [5], results from (61.2):

THEOREM 8.2. Each character of the group G corresponding to the representation of the group G over the field  $K^{\dagger}$  of characteristic zero is an integer linear combination of  $K^{\dagger}$  -characters induced by  $K^{\dagger}$  -characters of  $K^{\dagger}$  -elementary subgroups of the group G.

DEFINITION 6.2. Let us call the elements of the integer ring of  $K^{\dagger}$  -characters X generalized  $K^{\dagger}$  -characters of the group G .

THEOREM 9.2. The function f(g), prescribed on the group G with values in the field  $K^{\dagger \dagger} \supseteq K^{\dagger}$ , is a generalized  $K^{\dagger}$ —character of the group G if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1) f(g) is a function of the  $K^{\circ}$  -division of the group G .
- 2) f(g) induces a generalized K'-character of the K'-elementary subgroup of the group G in each subgroup.

PROOF. Let R be the ring of all functions f(g) with values in the field  $K^* \supseteq K^*$  satisfying conditions 1) and 2) of the THEOREM. It is evident that  $X \subseteq R$ . On the basis of LEMMA 8.2, the submodulus  $R \subseteq X$  generated by  $K^*$ -characters induced by  $K^*$ -characters of the  $K^*$ -characters of the  $K^*$ -elementary subgroups of the group G is an ideal in the ring R. By virtue of THEOREM 8.2,  $R_O = X$ . This means that  $R_O = X = R$ .

The THEOREM is proved.

THEOREM 9.2.generalizes the fundamental result of the work [6].

THEOREM 10.2. The integer ring of K' -characters of a finite group, where K' is an arbitrary field of characteristic zero which is

not decomposable in a direct sum of ideals.

PROOF. The integer ring X of K' -characters of the group G can be considered as a subring of the ring  $\hat{X}$ , where  $\hat{X}$  — an integer ring of characters of the group G corresponding to the irreducible representations of G over a field  $\hat{K}$  is the algebraic closure of the field K'.

Let X4 be a ring of absolutely irreducible characters of the group G over the field  $\hat{K}$  . The elements  $\hat{X}_{\hat{K}}$  are all possible linear combinations

$$\alpha_1 \hat{\chi}_1 + \ldots + \alpha_S \hat{\chi}_S$$

 $(\alpha_{i} \in \hat{K}; i = 1,...,s; \hat{\chi}_{1},...,\hat{\chi}_{s}$  are absolutely irreducible characters of the group G).

Let us assume that  $C_1, \ldots, C_s$  is a class of conjugate elements of the group G;  $h_i$  the order of the class  $C_i$ ;  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$  the system of representatives of classes  $C_1, \dots, C_s$ ; h the order of G. Let us consider the elements  $e_{i} \in \hat{X}_{K}^{A}$ :

(62.2) 
$$e_{i} = \frac{h_{i}}{h} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \chi_{j}(a_{i}) \chi_{j} \qquad (i = 1, ..., s)$$

By virtue of (III) (§ 1)

(63.2) 
$$e_{\underline{i}}(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g \in C_{\underline{i}} \\ 1 & \text{if } g \in C_{\underline{i}} \end{cases}$$

This means that  $e_i, \dots, e_s$  are idempotents of the algebra  $\hat{X}_K^{\bullet}$ .

Because of (63.2),  $e_{i}(g)e_{j}(g) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ;  $e_{1} + ... + e_{s} = 1$ (the unit of the algebra  $\mathcal{X}_{K}$  is the principal character  $\mathcal{X}_{1}(g) \not\equiv 1$  ).

Since the number of idempotents e equals the rank of the algebra  $\widehat{X}_{\widehat{K}}$  over  $\widehat{K}$  , then  $\widehat{X}_{K}^{m{lpha}}$  is a semisimple algebra over  $\widehat{K}$  .

Each idempotent  $e \in \hat{X}_{K}$  is represented in the form of a sum of certain of the idempotents  $e_1, \dots, e_s$ :

(64.2) 
$$e = e_{i_1} + ... + e_{i_t} \quad (1 \le t \le s)$$

On the basis of (64.2) and (62.2)

$$e = \left(\frac{h_{i_{1}}}{h} \chi_{1}(a_{i_{1}}) + \dots + \frac{h_{i_{t}}}{h} \chi_{1}(a_{i_{t}})\right) \chi_{i} + \dots = \frac{h_{i_{1}} + \dots + h_{i_{t}}}{h} \chi_{1} + \dots$$

Since  $h_1 + \dots + h_s = h$ , then  $\frac{n_{i_1} + \dots + n_{i_t}}{h}$  C (C is the ring of

rational numbers) if and only if  $h_1 + \dots + h_i = h_1 + \dots + h_s = h$ .

But in this case  $e_1 + \dots + e_i = e_1 + \dots + e_s = 1$ .

Thus, the ring  $\hat{X}$  contains only trivial idempotents. This means the ring  $X \subseteq \hat{X}$  is undecomposable into a direct sum of ideals.

The THEOREM is proved.

Exactly as the theorem inverse to the Brauer theorem is proved in [13], let us prove a theorem inverse to THEOREM 8.2.

Let us say that the system of subgroups  $M = \{H_{\alpha}\}$  of the group G has the property (B) if each  $K^{\alpha}$ -character of the group G is an integer linear combination of  $K^{\alpha}$ -characters induced by  $K^{\alpha}$ -characters of the subgroup  $H_{\alpha}$ .

Continuing the reasoning on which the proof of THEOREM 9.2 was based, it is easy to show that the system of subgroups  $M = \{H_{\alpha}\}$  has the property (B) if and only if any function of the  $K^{\epsilon}$ -division of the group f(g) ( $f(g) \in K^{\epsilon} \supseteq K^{\epsilon}$ ) which induces a generalized  $K^{\epsilon}$ -character of the subgroup  $H_{\alpha}$  on each subgroup, is a generalized  $K^{\epsilon}$ -character of the group G.

Hence, there results that by replacing any subgroup  $H_{\alpha}$  in the system  $M = \{H_{\alpha}\}$  satisfying the condition (B) with a subgroup  $H_{\alpha} \supseteq H_{\alpha}$  or a subgroup conjugate to  $H_{\alpha}$  and also by adding new subgroups to the system M (discarding subgroups from the system so that the property (B) would be retained), we again obtain a system having the property (B).

Two systems of subgroups M and  $M^2$  satisfying the condition (B) and obtained from each other by the transformation mentioned, we shall agree to call equivalent.

THEOREM 11.2. If the system of subgroups  $M = \{H_{\alpha}\}$  of the group G has the property (B), then there exists a subgroup  $H_{\alpha} \in M$  for any  $K^{\dagger}$ -elementary subgroup  $E^{\dagger} \subseteq G$ , which contains a subgroup conjugate to  $E^{\dagger}$ .

Hence, to the accuracy of equivalence, the system of  $K^{\dagger}$  -elementary subgroups of G is a single set of subgroups having the property (B).

PROOF. Let E; be a K; -elementary subgroup generated by a p -regular element a and a p -subgroup  $P:\subseteq N_{K}$ ; (a)  $(N_{K}$ ; (a) is the

K'-normalizer of the element a in G angle . Let us adjoin m P  $^{\circ}$  to the Silow p-subgroup  $P \subseteq N_{K_1}(a)$  and let us show that a subgroup  $H_{\alpha} \in M$ is found which contains a subgroup conjugate to the group  $\mathbb{E} = \{a,P\}$ .

Let  $\psi_{ij}$  be an arbitrary irreducible  $\mathbb{K}^2$  -character of a certain subgroup  $H_{i}$ . According to (1:.2)

(65.2) 
$$\psi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}^{*}(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{h}{h^{?} \cdot h_{\mathbf{a}}} \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in C_{\mathbf{a}} \cap H} \psi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{g}) = \sum_{\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{l}}^{\mathbf{r}} \frac{h \cdot h_{\mathbf{t}}}{h^{?} \cdot h_{\mathbf{a}}} \psi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{t}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{l}}^{\mathbf{r}} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}\mathbf{t}} \psi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{t}})$$

where h is the order of G; h; the order of H;; C, the class of conjugate elements of the group G generated by the element a; b<sub>1</sub>,...,b<sub>r</sub> a system of representatives of classes of conjugate elements  $C_1^2,\ldots,C_r^3$  of the group  $H_i$  into which the intersection  $C_a \cap H$  is decomposed;  $h_{\pm}^{\, \text{!`}}$  is the order of the class of conjugate elements  $C_{\pm}^{\, \text{!`}}$ the group H (t = 1,...,r).

Since  $\frac{h}{h_a} = n$  is the order of the normalizer  $H_3(b_t)$  of the element  $b_t$  in G and  $\frac{h!}{h!} = n!$  is the order of the normalizer  $M_{H_i}(b_t)$  in  $H_i$  $(\mathbb{N}_{H_{\vec{1}}}(b_{\mathbf{t}}) = \mathbb{N}_{G}(b_{\mathbf{t}}) \wedge \mathbb{N}_{\tilde{\mathbf{1}}}) \ , \ \text{then} \ \alpha_{\tilde{\mathbf{1}}\tilde{\mathbf{J}}\mathbf{t}} \ \text{is the index of} \ \mathbb{N}_{H_{\vec{1}}}(b_{\tilde{\mathbf{t}}}) \ \text{in} \ \mathbb{N}_{G}(b_{\mathbf{t}}) \ .$ 

 $\alpha_{\mbox{ijt}}$  can also be considered as the index of the  $K^{\gamma}$  -normalizer  $N_{K^{\gamma}}^{(\mbox{i})}(b_{\mbox{t}})$  of the element  $b_{\mbox{t}}$  in  $H_{\mbox{i}}$  in the  $K^{\gamma}$  -normalizer  $N_{K^{\gamma}}(b_{\mbox{t}})$ of the same element in the group G.

Actually, because the set of elements  $g \in G$  satisfying the condition  $g^{-1}b_{t}g = b_{t}^{\mu}$  ( $\mu$  is a fixed number) forms a contiguous class of the group G by means of  $N_G(b_t)$  , the order of  $N_{K^2}(b_t)$  is ns , where s is the number of elements of the cyclic group  $(b_t)$   $K^{\epsilon}$  -conjugate to  $b_t$  and in exactly the same way the order of  $N_{K^{\epsilon}}^{(1)}(b_t)$  is  $n^{\epsilon}s$ .

This means  $(N_{K_1}(b_t):N_{K_1}^{(i)}(b_t)) = \frac{ns}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}s} = \frac{n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \alpha_{ijt}$ . Since the system of subgroups  $M = \{H_i\}$  has, according to the condition, the property (B), then  $\sum_{i,j} \tau_{i,j}^{*} = 1$  ( $\tau_{i,j}$  are integers), from which by virtue of (65.2), there results that for certain i, j, t (66.2)

The follows from (66.2) that  $N_{\tilde{K}^{l}}^{(i)}(b_{t})$  contains a Silow p—subgroup Q of the group  $N_{K^{\, 1}}(b_{\pm})$  and this means that the  $K^{\, 1}$  -elementary subgroup

$$\mathbf{E}^{\, :} = \left\{ \mathbf{b}_{\mathsf{t}}^{\, }, \mathbf{Q} \right\} \leq \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{K}^{\, :}}^{\left( \check{\mathtt{1}} \right)} (\mathbf{b}_{\mathsf{t}}^{\, }) \leq \mathbf{H}_{\check{\mathtt{1}}}^{\, }$$

As a consequence of the inclusion  $b_t, a \in C_a$   $(b_t = c^{-1}ac; c \in G)$ we conclude that  $c^{-1}Pc$  is a Silow p subgroup of the group  $N_{K^{\dagger}}(b_t)$ . This means that  $d^{-1}(c^{-1}Pc)d = Q$  for a certain element  $d \in N_{K^{\dagger}}(b_t)$ , from which  $(cd)^{-1}Ecd = E^{\circ} \subseteq H_{\bullet}$ .

The THEOREM is proved.

I am grateful to IA. B. Lopatinskii and I. R. Shafarevich for a number of valuable remarks.

Uzhgerod

Oct. 17, 1956

## References

- 1. M. VAN DER WAERDEN: Modern Algebra. 1947
- 2. G. FROBENIUS: Theory of characters and group representations. 1937
- 3. G. FROBENIUS, I. SCHUR: Ueber die reelen Darstellungen der endlichen Gruppen. Berliner Ber., 657, 186 - 208 (1906)
- 4. I. SCHUR: Arithmetische Untersuchungen ueber endliche Gruppen linearer Substitutionen. Berliner Ber., <u>657</u>, 164 - 184 (1906)
- 5. R. BRAUER: On Artin's L -series with general group characters. Ann. of Math., 48(1), 502 - 514 (1947)
- 6. R. BRAUER: A characterization of the characters of groups of finite order. Ann. of Math. 57(2), 358 - 377 (1953)
- 7. P. ROQUETTE: Arithmetic investigations of the character rings of a finite group. Journ. reine und angew. Math., 190, 148-168 (1952)
- 8. S. D. BERMAN: On the theory of representations of finite groups. DAN USSR, 86(6), 885-888 (1952)
- 9. S. D. BERMAN: On the isomorphism of centers of group rings of p -groups DAN USSR, 91(2), 185 -187 (1953)
- 10. S. D. BERMAN: p-adic rings of characters. DAN USSR, 106(4), 583-586 (1956)
- 11. I. D. ADO: On the theory of linear representations of finite groups. Math. Sbornik, 36(78), 25-30 (1955)
- 12. S. D. BERMAN: Number of irreducible representations of a finite group over an arbitrary field. DAN USSR, 106(5), 767-769 (1956)
- 13. J. A. GREEN: On the converse to a theorem of R. Brauer. Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc., <u>51</u>(1), 237 - 239 (1955)
- 14. ASAKO KEIZO: Einfacher Beweis eines Brauerschen Satzes ueber Gruppen-
- charaktere. Proc. Japan Acad., 31(8), 501 503 (1955)
  15. R. BRAUER; J. TATE: On characters of finite groups. Ann. of Math., 62(1), 1 - 7(1955)
- 16. R. BRAUER: Applications of induced characters. Amer. Journ. Math. <u>69</u>(4), 709 - 716 (1947)
- 17. S. PERLIS, G. L. WALKER: Abelian group algebras of finite order. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 68(3), 420 - 426(1950)
- 18. S. D. BERMAN: On the equation  $\overline{x^m} = 1$  in an integer group ring. Ukr. Math. Journal, 7(3), 253 - 261 (1955)
- 19. S. D. BERMAN: Groups all of whose representations are monomials. DAN UkrSSR, No. 6, 539 - 542 (1957)